1	JOHN C. FISH, Jr., Bar No. 160620		
2	jfish@littler.com ROD M. FLIEGEL, Bar No. 168289		
3	rfliegel@littler.com ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, Bar No. 245998	8	
4	aspurchise@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.		
5	650 California Street 20th Floor		
6	San Francisco, California 94108.2693 Telephone: 415.433.1940		
7	Facsimile: 415.399.8490		
8	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND RASII LLC	ER,	
9	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED,	Case No. 3:14-cv-05200-EMC	
12	individually and on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER	
13	Plaintiff,	CHANGING TIME AND CONSOLIDATING MOTION TO	
14	V.	COMPEL ARBITRATION BRIEFING; [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER,	REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME AND CONSOLIDATING MOTION	
16	LLC, HIREASE, LLC and DOES 1-50,	TO COMPEL ARBITRATION BRIEFING	
17	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: November 24, 2014	
18		Trial Date: None set.	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ON, P.C. reet	STIPULATED REQ FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME/CONSOLIDATING	CASE NO. 3:14-CV-05200 EMO	

LITTLER MENDELSON, P. 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 415.433.1940

CHANGING TIME/CONSOLIDATING BRIEFING; [PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. 3:14-CV-05200 EMC

11

1415

17 18

16

20

21

19

22

23

24

2526

2728

This Stipulation is entered into by and between Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Rasier, LLC ¹ through their counsel of record Littler Mendelson, P.C., Plaintiff Abdul Kadir Mohamed through his counsel of record Ahdoot & Wolfson, P.C and Plaintiff Ronald Gillette (Plaintiff in the related action *Gillette v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 14-05241 EMC ["*Gillette*"]) through his counsel of record Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho (collectively "the Parties"). Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, the Parties hereby stipulate to a consolidated briefing schedule regarding Defendants' motions to compel arbitration in *Gillette* and the instant action ("*Mohamed*"). The Parties also seek to continue the March 12, 2015 case management conference date and associated deadlines.

This Stipulation is based on the following:

- 1. Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. filed a motion to compel arbitration in the *Gillette* action on January 23, 2015 and noticed the hearing for March 12, 2015. Plaintiff Gillette's opposition is currently due for filing on February 6, 2015.
- 2. Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Rasier, LLC intend to file a motion to compel arbitration in *Mohamed* on February 6, 2015.
- 3. The Court set a case management conference in *Gillette* for March 5, 2015, as well as associated case management and ADR deadlines, as set forth in the Court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines. The Court subsequently continued the case management conference and associated deadlines to March 12, 2015.
- 4. The Court set a case management conference in *Mohamed* for February 26, 2015, as well as associated case management and ADR deadlines, as set forth in the Court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines. The Court subsequently continued the case management conference and associated deadlines to March 12, 2015.
- 5. Given the overlap in legal and factual issues in the *Gillette* and *Mohamed* actions, the Parties met and conferred regarding a consolidated briefing schedule regarding Defendants' motions to compel arbitration. The Parties believe that consolidated briefing, hearing, and initial case

¹ Rasier, LLC is a defendant only in *Mohamed*.

9 10

11 12

13 14

16

15

18

17

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

50 California Street

20th Floor

415 433 1940

management schedules promote efficiency and will avoid undue repetition of facts, evidence and legal argument common to both actions. The Parties agree, however, that if consolidated briefs are filed, the page limits for the consolidated opposition and reply should be enlarged to ensure sufficient space to address factual and legal arguments specific to each Plaintiff.

This request is not made for purposes of unnecessary delay and no party will be prejudiced by the granting of this request. Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. and Plaintiff Gillette previously stipulated to an extension of Defendant's time to file a responsive pleading. Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Rasier, LLC and Plaintiff Mohamed previously stipulated to two extensions of Defendants' time to file a responsive pleading.

THEREFORE, the parties to this Stipulation hereby stipulate and request as follows:

- The Court order a consolidated opposition, reply and hearing with respect to Defendants' motions to compel arbitration in the Gillette and Mohamed matters.
- 2. The Court enlarge the consolidated opposition brief page limit to 40 pages and the consolidated reply brief page limit to 25 pages.
- 3. The Court set the following consolidated briefing schedule for the motion to compel arbitration previously filed in the Gillette action and the motion to compel arbitration anticipated to be filed in the *Mohamed* action:

Plaintiffs' deadline to file Consolidated Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Compel Arbitration	March 5, 2015
Defendants' deadline to file Consolidated Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition	March 23, 2015
Motion to Compel Arbitration Hearing	April 9, 2015

4. The Court continue the March 12, 2015 case management conferences in the Gillette and Mohamed actions to April 9, 2015, and that the Court continue all associated deadlines accordingly.

1		
2		
3	Dated: February 5, 2015	
4		
5	/s/Andrew M. Spurchise ANDREW M. SPURCHISE	
6	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant	
7	UBER ŤECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND RASIER, LLC	
8		
9	Dated: February 5, 2015	
10	/s/ Tina Wolfson TINA WOLFSON AUDOOT & WOLFSON PC	
11	AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC Attorneys for Plaintiff ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED	
12	WOHAWED	
13	Dated: February 5, 2015	
14	Dated: 1 Columy 5, 2015	
15	<u>/s/ Andrew P. Lee</u>	
16	ANDREW P. LEE GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN	
17	& HO Attorneys for Plaintiff in <i>Gillette v. Uber</i> ,	
18	Technologies, Inc.	
19		
20		
21	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION	
22	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this	
23 24	document has been obtained from the signatories on this e-filed document.	
25		
26	DATED: February 5, 2015 /s/ Andrew M. Spurchise	
27	ANDREW M. SPURCHISE	
28		
ON, P.C.	STIPULATED REQ FOR ORDER	

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, this _____ day of February 2015. JUDGE EDWARD HEN Judge Edward M. Chen Firmwide:131547109.1 073208.1046

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 415.433.1940