1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED, et al. No. C-14-5200 EMC
9	Plaintiff, No. C-14-5241 EMC
10	v.
11	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al., SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ORDER
12	Defendants.
13	
14	RONALD GILLETTE, et al.
15	Plaintiff,
16	v.
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	
20	
21	In its consolidated reply brief, Uber argues that litigation of PAGA claims is as "time
22	consuming, costly and procedurally complex" as litigating class actions. See Reply Br. at II.B.2.
23	Plaintiffs are hereby directed to file a response to Uber's arguments contained in Section II.B.2.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

United States District Court

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12 13 14 15 16	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Such response shall be filed no later than noon on Wednesday, May 13, 2015. The response shall not exceed five (5) pages of text.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 11, 2015

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge