28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED, et al.	No. C-14-5200 EMC
9	Plaintiff,	No. C-14-5241 EMC
10	V.	
11	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,	ORDER REGARDING ORAL
12	Defendants.	ARGUMENT
13	/	
14	RONALD GILLETTE, et al.	
15	Plaintiff,	
16	v.	
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, et al.,	
18	Defendants.	
19	/	
20		
21	The parties shall be prepared to discuss at oral argument on May 14, 2015, the California	
22	Supreme Court's opinion in <i>Gentry v. Superior Court</i> , 42 Cal App. 443 (2007), and specifically the	
23	Supreme Court's discussion of procedural unconscionability at Section II.B ("The Opt-out Provision	
24	and Procedural Unconscionability"). The parties shall also be prepared to address the discussions	
25	regarding the enforceability of delegation clauses under the "clear and unmistakable" test as	
26	discussed by:	
07	111	

(1) Parada v. Superior Court, 176 Cal. App. 4th 1554 (2009); (2) Baker v. Osborne Development Corp., 159 Cal. App. 4th 884 (2008); and (3) Hartley v. Superior Court, 196 Cal. App. 4th 1249 (2011).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 12, 2015

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge