1	JOHN C. FISH, Jr., Bar No. 160620 jfish@littler.com		
2	ROD M. FLIEGEL, Bar No. 168289		
3	rfliegel@littler.com ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, Bar No. 245998 aspurchise@littler.com		
4	aspurchise@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.		
5	650 California Street 20th Floor		
6	San Francisco, California 94108.2693 Telephone: 415.433.1940		
7	Facsimile: 415.399.8490		
8	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND RASI	ER,	
9	LLC		
10			
11	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED, individually and on behalf of all others	Case No. 3:14-cv-05200-EMC Case No. 3:14-cv-05241-EMC	
16	similarly-situated,	STIPULATED REQUEST AND	
17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONFIRM DEFENDANTS' TIME TO FILE	
18	V.	RESPONSIVE PLEADING	
19	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RASIER,	Complaint Filed: November 24, 2014	
20	LLC; HIREASE, LLC; and DOÉS 1-50,	Trial Date: None set.	
21	Defendant.	11.02 2 0.00 1 10.00 000	
22	RONALD GILLETTE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly-situated,		
23	Plaintiff,		
24	V.		
25	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a		
26	California corporation, and DOES 1-20, inclusive,		
27 28	Defendant.		
∠O ON, P.C. treet	STIPULATED REQUEST AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONFIRM DEFS' TIME TO	1. 3:14-cv-05200-E 3:14-cv-05241-E	

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 415.433.1940

ORDER TO CONFIRM DEFS' TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING

3:14-cv-05200-EMC 3:14-cv-05241-EMC

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	ш

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs RONALD GILLETTE and ABDUL KADIR MOHAMED and Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., RASIER, LLC and HIREASE, LLC (collectively, the "Parties") hereby stipulate to confirm that the deadline for Defendants to respond to the complaints is July 9, 2015. This Stipulation is based on the following:

- 1. The Parties previously agreed in the Joint Case Management Conference Statement (Docket No. 34) that Defendants would have 30 days from the date of the Court's ruling on Defendants' motions to compel arbitration to respond to the complaints.
- 2. On June 9, 2015, the Court entered a ruling denying Defendants' motions to compel arbitration.
- 3 Pursuant to the Parties' agreement, and subject to this Court's approval, Defendants have until July 9, 2015 to respond to the complaints.
- 4. This request is not made by the Parties for purposes of unnecessary delay and no party will be prejudiced by the granting of this request. Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. and Plaintiff Gillette previously stipulated to an extension of Defendant's time to respond to the complaint. Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Rasier, LLC and Plaintiff Mohamed previously stipulated to two extensions of Defendants' time to respond to the complaint. Defendant Hirease, LLC and Plaintiff Mohamed previously stipulated to one extension of Hirease's time to respond to the complaint.

THEREFORE, the parties to this Stipulation hereby stipulate and respectfully request as follows: that the Court confirm the cutoff for Defendants to respond to the complaints as July 9, 2015.

2.

Francisco, CA 94108.2693

1	Dated: June 18, 2015	
2		
3		/s/ Andrew M. Spurchise
4		Andrew M. Spurchise LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
5		Attorneys for Defendants Über Technologies, Inc. and Rasier, LLC
6		
7	Dated: June 18, 2015	
8		
9		/s/Theodore Maya Theodore Maya
10		AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC Attorneys for Plaintiff Abdul Kadir Mohamed
11	Dated: June 18, 2015	Attorneys for Framitin Abdul Radii Wollamed
12	Dated. June 10, 2013	
13		/s/ Laura L. Ho
14		Laura L. Ho GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN &
15		HO Attorneys for Plaintiff Ronald Gillette
16		Attorneys for Framitin Rolland Gillette
17	Dated: June 18, 2015	
18		
19		/s/Mitchell J. Freedman Paul K. Schrieffer
20		Mitchell J. Freedman P.K. SCHRIEFFER LLP
21		Attorneys for Defendant Hirease, LLC
22	Dated: June 18, 2015	
23	2 acoa. vano 10, 2015	/s/Sarah K. Hamilton
24		Sarah K. Hamilton SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
25		Attorneys for Defendant Hirease, LLC
26		
27		
28		
ON DO	STIPULATED REQUEST AND [PROPOSED]	

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories on this e-filed document.

IS SO ORDERED

Judge Edward M. Chen

4

1

2

3

/s/ Andrew M. Spurchise ANDREW M. SPURCHISE Dated: June 18, 2015

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

22

27

STIPULATED REQUEST AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONFIRM DEFS' TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING

4.

3:14-cv-05200-EMC 3:14-cv-05241-EMC

Firmwide:134172089.1 073208.1047

6/18

____, 2015

Dated:

20

21

23

24

25

26

28

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 415.433.1940