

offers no argument or evidence to support this assertion. Defendant neither filed a declaration attaching the pension plan nor explained why the pension plan is one that is covered by ERISA. As it stands now, the record does not establish that the pension plan at issue in this action is one that is covered by ERISA.

The court thus orders Defendant to show cause why this action should not be remanded for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Defendant shall do so in writing no later than January 30, 2015. In

C 14-05227 LB ORDER

24

25

26

27

28

1	light of this jurisdictional problem, the court continues the hearing on Defendant's pending motion	n
2	to dismiss from January 29, 2015 to February 12, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom C, 15th Floor,	
3	United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: January 23, 2015	
6	LAUREL BEELER	
7	United States Magistrate Judge	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		