

1 JOHN C. FISH, JR., SBN 160620
 jfish@littler.com
 2 ROD M. FLIEGEL, SBN 168289
 rfliegel@littler.com
 3 ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, SBN 245998
 aspurchise@littler.com
 4 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
 650 California Street, 20th Floor
 5 San Francisco, California 94108.2693
 Telephone: 415.433.1940
 6 Facsimile: 415.399.8490

JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557
 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
 KEVIN RING-DOWELL, SBN 278289
 kringdowell@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
 Telephone: 415.393.8200
 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

7 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099
 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
 8 MARCELLUS MCRAE, SBN 140308
 mmcrae@gibsondunn.com
 9 DEBRA WONG YANG, SBN 123289
 dwongyang@gibsondunn.com
 10 THEANE EVANGELIS, SBN 243570
 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com
 11 DHANANJAY S. MANTHRIPRAGADA, SBN 254433
 dmanthripragada@gibsondunn.com
 12 BRANDON J. STOKER, SBN 277325
 bstoker@gibsondunn.com
 13 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 333 South Grand Avenue
 14 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
 Telephone: 213.229.7000
 15 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

17 Attorneys for Defendants UBER
 18 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER, LLC

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 RONALD GILLETTE, *et al.*,
 Plaintiffs,
 22 v.
 23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., *et al.*,
 24 Defendants.

Case No. 3:14-cv-05241 EMC
**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
 TO RESPOND TO SECOND AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

1 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-1, the parties, through their undersigned counsel,
2 hereby stipulate and agree that Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. (“Uber”) and Rasier, LLC
3 (“Rasier”) (collectively “Defendants”) may have until fourteen (14) days after the Court's ruling on
4 the motion to consolidate filed in the captioned matter (ECF No. 84) or the filing of a Consolidated
5 Complaint that includes the captioned matter, whichever is later, to file their answer, motion or other
6 response to the then-operative Complaint in this matter. Based on the parties’ meet and confer
7 efforts, they agree the most efficient course is to await the final outcome of the motion to consolidate
8 before Defendants are required to respond to the Complaint in this matter and Plaintiffs are required
9 to take further action. The Second Amended Complaint was filed on August 25, 2015. No other
10 extensions have been granted for the time for Defendants to respond to the Second Amended
11 Complaint. No objections, arguments, or defenses are waived by any party by virtue of this
12 stipulation. Defendants reserve all rights, including the right to seek via stipulation or Court order
13 additional time to respond to any consolidated complaint that may be filed in this action. This
14 stipulation will not require the alteration of any deadline already set by Court Order.

15 Dated: August 27, 2015

16 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

17 By: /s/ Rod M. Fliegel

18 ROD M. FLIEGEL

19 Attorneys for Defendants
20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER, LLC

21 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

22 By: /s/ Theodore J. Boutrous

23 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR.

24 Attorneys for Defendants
25 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER, LLC

26 GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO

27 By: /s/ Andrew P. Lee

28 ANDREW P. LEE

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AHDOOT & WOLFSON, P.C.

By: /s/ Theodore W. Maya
THEODORE W. MAYA

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories on this e-filed document.

Dated: August 27, 2015

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.

By: /s/ Rod M. Fliegel
ROD M. FLIEGEL

Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER, LLC

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 8/28/15

