

United States District Court
Northern District of California

FILED

MAY 20 2015

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENTWOOL COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

NETSUITE INC,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-05264-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	4/3/15 ¹
Mediation deadline	7/27/15
Fact discovery cut-off	1/15/16
Expert disclosures	1/29/16
Expert rebuttal	2/19/16
Expert discovery cut-off	4/1/16
Deadline to file dispositive motions	2/19/16
Pretrial conference statement due	5/3/16

¹ Because this deadline has already passed, a showing of good cause is required before any party may amend a complaint or answer.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	5/13/16 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	6/6/16 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Five

At Plaintiff's request, this case will be tried to a jury.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 20, 2015



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge