nan e	et al Doc
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California
10	San Francisco Division
11	ALBERT DYTCH, No. 3:14-cv-05414 LB
12	Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	FRANCISCO GUZMAN, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	This is an ADA case that is subject to the case management deadlines set forth in this
17	district's General Order 56. Under those deadlines, the parties were to have held a joint site
18	inspection by March 26, 2015. (Scheduling Order, ECF No. 5). Plaintiff was to file a notice of need
19	for mediation 42 days later, or by March 7, 2015. (<i>Id.</i>) That was yesterday, but so far there is no
20	indication on the docket that the site inspection occurred, and Plaintiff did not file the notice.
21	Accordingly, the court orders Plaintiff to show cause why this action should not dismissed without
22	prejudice for his failure to prosecute it. Plaintiff shall do so in writing no later than May 18, 2015.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24 25	Dated: May 8, 2015
25 26	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
26 27	
27	
20	
	No. 3:14 ov 05414 LB
	No. 3:14-cv-05414 LB ORDER
	Dockots Justia