

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 FRANKLIN H. WRIGHT,
7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 POPS MCGOVERN, et al.,
10 Defendants.
11

Case No. [14-cv-05525-RS](#)

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

12
13 Plaintiff, having been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”), now seeks
14 service of process upon the named defendants of his First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) and
15 related documents. The prior complaint was dismissed for failure to comply with Rule 8’s
16 pleading standards and failure to set forth a cognizable claim. The FAC, over ninety pages in
17 length, again falls short of meeting these requirements. In light of the Court’s continuing duty to
18 dismiss any case in which a party seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis if the Court determines
19 that the action (1) is frivolous or malicious; (2) fails to state a claim on which relief may be
20 granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief, see 28
21 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), this action must be dismissed with prejudice.
22

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: March 23, 2015



25
26 RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge
27
28