

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARK FRATUS, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [14-cv-05533-MEJ](#)

**ORDER RE: AMENDED OPPOSITION
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Re: Dkt. No. 75

Pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Dkt. No. 48. Briefing in this matter has been complete since Defendants filed their Reply on April 28, 2016, and the matter is set for a hearing on July 14, 2016. However, on May 22, 2016, Plaintiffs filed an Amended Opposition to Defendants' Motion. Dkt. No. 75. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d), "Once a reply is filed, no additional memoranda, papers or letters may be filed without prior Court approval," except in limited circumstances not applicable here. As Plaintiffs did not seek leave to file an amended opposition, the Court would normally order it stricken from the record. Since Plaintiffs represent themselves in this matter and the hearing is seven weeks away, thus giving Defendants ample time to amend their reply, the Court shall permit Plaintiffs to file their amended opposition. Plaintiffs are hereby advised that any future filings that do not comply with the federal and local rules shall be stricken from the record. Defendants shall file an amended reply by June 9, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 23, 2016



MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge