

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUAN M. REYES, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
BAKERY AND CONFECTIONERY  
UNION AND INDUSTRY  
INTERNATIONAL PENSION FUND, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-05596-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	5/8/15 <sup>1</sup>
Deadline to file Plaintiffs’ brief re: jury trial	7/1/15
Deadline to file Defendants’ brief re: jury trial	7/15/15
Deadline to file Plaintiffs’ motion for class certification	7/23/15
Fact discovery cut-off	11/9/15
Case management conference	11/18/15
Last day to designate experts	12/9/15

<sup>1</sup> The deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings having passed, any further amendment will require a showing of good cause.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Expert discovery cut-off	2/8/16
Deadline to file dispositive motions	3/25/16
Pretrial conference statement due	6/7/16
Pretrial conference	6/17/16 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	7/11/16 at 8:30 a.m.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court’s standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 29, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge