1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3 4 5 6 7 8	KERRY REARDON, et al., Plaintiffs, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendant.	Case No. 14-cv-05678-JST ORDER VACATING HEARING Re: ECF No. 25
9	Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. ECF No. 25. Pursuant to Federal	
10	Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds the matter suitable for	
11	disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently scheduled for April 16,	
12	2015, is hereby vacated.	
13	If, however, any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date	
14	of this order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has	
15	been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, and who has not previously presented	
16	argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient	
17	to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the	
18	party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the	
19	Court's calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing.	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	Dated: April 7, 2015	
22	this deem	

23

24

25

26

27

28

JON S. TIGAL United States District Judge

Dockets.Justia.com