1 2 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS 13 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS 14 Defendant. 15 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 16 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 16 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 18 action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related 10 to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is 19 decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENTED. 11 T IS SO ORDERED. 12 Dated: May 12, 2014. 12 Dated: May 12, 2014.		
3 4 4 5 6 7 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 9 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS 13 DEfendant. 14 Defendant. 15 Martine of the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 17 TI IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated: May 12, 2014.		
3 4 4 5 6 FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 Paintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 11 v. 12 DEFARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE 13 Defendant. 14 Defendant. 15 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 16 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 16 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 17 decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 It IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: May 12, 2014.	1	
4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS 13 Defendant. 14 Defendant. 15 More of the february 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 16 Plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 16 Ocurt before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 18 decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 Dated: May 12, 2014.		
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 11 v. 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE 13 Defendant. 14 Defendant. 15 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 19 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 16 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 19 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 16 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 19 action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related 17 toose previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is 12 totse not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 13 TI IS SO ORDERED. 14 WILLIAMALSUP 15 Dated: May 12, 2014. 16 Dated: May 12, 2014.		
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 11 v. 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE PRE-FILING REVIEW 14 Defendant. 15 Jourder filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 16 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 19 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 18 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 19 action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related 10 to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is 10 to those not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 WILLIAMALSUF 14 Dated: May 12, 2014.		IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUDT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA VANCE S. ELLIOTT, Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA v. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, PRE-FILING REVIEW Defendant. Defendant. // By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Lition May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA v. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE AFFAIRS, Defendant. 		FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA 11 v. 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE PRE-FILING REVIEW 14		
 VANCE S. ELLIOTT, Plaintiff, No. C 14-80131 WHA v. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ORDER RE PRE-FILING REVIEW Defendant. 		
11 v. 12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, ORDER RE PRE-FILING REVIEW 14		
12 DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS DRDER RE PRE-FILING REVIEW 14 Defendant.	11	
13 AFFAIRS, PRE-FILING REVIEW 14 Defendant. 15 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 16 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed 17 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave 18 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the 19 action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related 10 to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is 12 decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 WILLIAMALSUF 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 16 It is that is the court is unable to the state is the state i	12	
By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED . IT IS SO ORDERED . Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	13	
 By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. 	14	Defendant.
 plaintiff a vexatious litigant (No. C 04-1600 MHP; Dkt. No. 36). He is required to obtain leave of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. 	15	
 of court before filing any new complaint related to claims in that action. The allegations in the action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	16	By order filed February 15, 2006, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of this district deemed
 action are incomprehensible such that the Court is unable to determine whether they are related to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	17	
 to those previously asserted by plaintiff. Moreover, to the extent that the complaint is decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	18	
 decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	19	
 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	20	
 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 12, 2014. WILLIAMALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	21	decipherable, it does not state a claim. Leave to proceed is DENIED .
 23 24 25 26 27 26 27 28 29 29 2014. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	22	IT IS SO ODDEDED
 25 Dated: May 12, 2014. 26 27 28 29 29 2014. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 	23	II IS SO ORDERED.
26 WILLIAM ALSUP 27 WILLIAM ALSUP 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		Dated: May 12, 2014
27		WILLIAMALSUP
28		
	28	