

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Application of  
BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC, Petitioner,  
For an Order Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1782 to  
Take Discovery for Use in Foreign  
Proceedings, Pursuant to the Federal Rules  
of Civil Procedure of Respondent Nektar  
Therapeutics

No. C 14-80138 WHA


**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND  
ORDER EXTENDING  
JURISDICTION**

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
Bayer HealthCare LLC commenced this miscellaneous discovery proceeding in  
May 2014. In June 2014, the parties jointly represented that they had reached a “comprehensive  
agreement concerning discovery. Accordingly, there are no remaining disputes at this time.”  
Jurisdiction was retained until August 29. A September 2014 order then granted a joint motion  
to extend jurisdiction until October 13. On September 24, the parties appeared for a hearing and  
represented that they had resolved their discovery dispute.

Now, Bayer represents that on “October 2, after the close of business, Nektar  
[Therapeutics] produced over 2,100 new documents.” By **NOON ON OCTOBER 14**, Nektar shall  
show cause why this production came so late. Nektar’s response shall not exceed three pages.  
This order extends jurisdiction until October 28, 2014.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 9, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE