1 2 3 4 5 6 7	TIMOTHY L. ALGER, Bar No. 160303 TAlger@perkinscoie.com KEVAN FORNASERO, Bar No. 274943 KFornasero@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94111-4131 Telephone: 415.344.7000 Facsimile: 415.344.7050 Attorneys for Respondent GOOGLE INC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	THE INTEGRITY COMMISSION OF TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO, a	CASE NO. 3:14-mc-80229-WHO	
13	governmental entity,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE GOOGLE INC.'S RESPONSE	
14	Applicant,	TO SUBPOENA	
15	V.		
16	GOOGLE, INC., a California corporation,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	WHEREAS, on August 14, 2014, the Court entered an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §		
20	1782, permitting the Integrity Commission of Trinidad and Tobago ("Applicant") to issue a		
21	subpoena to Google Inc. ("Respondent") and ordering Respondent to respond to the subpoena		
22	"within 14 days of service" (Dkt. 3),		
23	WHEREAS, on August 18, 2014, Applicant served Respondent with a subpoena (Dkt. 4),		
24	WHEREAS, on August 25, 2014, Anand Ramlogan and Kamla Persad-Bissessar filed a		
25	Notice of Pendency of Other Action or Proceeding, claiming "there has been resolution of all the		
26	issues raised in this action before the Northern District of California" (Dkt. 5),		
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE GOOGLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA CASE NO. 3:14-mc-80229-WHO		

1	1 WHEREAS, Respondent and Applicant, in lig	WHEREAS, Respondent and Applicant, in light of the August 25, 2014 filing, have		
2	agreed to continue Respondent's response date to the subpoena while both sides continue to have			
3	meaningful discussions regarding the necessity and manner of Google's response to the subpoena,			
4	4 WHEREAS, Respondent and Applicant have	WHEREAS, Respondent and Applicant have not previously requested the Court to		
5	modify a time deadline in the matter,			
6	WHEREAS, Respondent and Applicant do not anticipate that the requested time			
7	modification will have an effect on the schedule for the matter,			
8	THEREFORE, it is stipulated and agreed between Respondent and Applicant, pursuant to			
9	Civil Local Rule 6.2(b), to jointly request the Court to grant an order continuing Respondent's			
10	response date to the subpoena from September 2, 2014 to September 16, 2014.			
11	DATED:, 2014 PEI	RKINS COIE LLP		
12				
13	13 By:	KEVAN FORNASERO		
14				
15	15 GO	orneys for Respondent OGLE INC.		
16	16			
17	DATED:, 2014 DL .	A PIPER LLP		
18				
19	19 By.	GINA L. DURHAM		
20	20			
21	THI	orneys for Applicant E INTEGRITY COMMISSION OF		
22	22	NIDAD AND TOBAGO		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
24	DATED: August 29, 2014			
25	25	W. 400		
26	Бу	W H. WE		
27	27 F	ION. WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge		
28	28			