

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NAPOLEON EBARLE AND JEANNE
STAMM, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

No. C 15-00258 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

LIFELOCK, INC.,


**ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATION
OF ATTORNEY CYNTHIA
RICKETTS**

Defendant

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Cynthia Ricketts (Dkt. No. 15) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 5, 2015.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE