LUANNE SACKS (SBN 120811)	
2 SACKS, RICKETTS & CASE LLP	
San Francisco, CA 94108	
Facsimile: 415-549-0640	
5 CYNTHIA A. RICKETTS (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
6 SACKS, RICKETTS & CASE LLP	
Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: (602) 385-3370	
` '	
LifeLock, Inc.	
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	Case No. 3:15-CV-00258-HSG
STAMM, on behalf of themselves and all)
•	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION MODIFYING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON
· ·	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
))
Defendant.))
Having reviewed the Joint Stipulation Modifying the Briefing Schedule on Defendant's	
Motion to Dismiss (the "Stipulation") and with good cause appearing;	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the S	tipulation is GRANTED .
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Pla	intiffs shall have through and including March
27, 2015, in which to file a response to Defenda	ant's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 24).
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Def	fendant shall have through and including April 9,
25 2015, in which to file a reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 24).	
Dated: March <u>13</u> , 2015.	aywood & Ill
	on. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Nited States District Judge
PROPOSED ORDER CASE NO. 3:15-CV-00258-HSG	
	lsacks@srclaw.com SACKS, RICKETTS & CASE LLP 177 Post Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: 415-549-0580 Facsimile: 415-549-0640 CYNTHIA A. RICKETTS (Admitted pro hac varicketts@srclaw.com SACKS, RICKETTS & CASE LLP 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1230 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: (602) 385-3370 Fax: (602) 385-3371 Attorneys for Defendant LifeLock, Inc. UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTR NAPOLEON EBARLE and JEANNE STAMM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. LIFELOCK, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant. Having reviewed the Joint Stipulation M Motion to Dismiss (the "Stipulation") and with IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the S IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Pla 27, 2015, in which to file a response to Defendat IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendance of the support of its M Dated: March 13, 2015.

Ebarle et al v. LifeLock, Inc.

Doc. 28