1 2 3 4 5 6	ROBERT JON HENDRICKS, State Bar No. SACHA M. STEENHOEK, State Bar No. 25 CAITLIN V. MAY State Bar No. 293141 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market Street, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, California 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001 rhendricks@morganlewis.com ssteenhoek@morganlewis.com cmay@morganlewis.com		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS KALANICK and RYAN GRAVES		
9 10 11 12 13 14	SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN, pro hac vice particle PAGANO, pro hac vice LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C. 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000 Boston, MA 02116 Telephone: (617) 994-5800 Facsimile: (617) 994-5801 sliss@llrlaw.com apagano@llrlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff HAKAN YUCESOY	pending	
15 16 17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	HAKAN YUCESOY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS KALANICK, and RYAN GRAVES, Defendants.	Case No. 3:15-cv-00262-EMC JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1 Complaint Filed: June 26, 2014	
28			

STIP. TO CONTINUE DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (CASE NO. 3:15-CV-00262-EMC)

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, Plaintiff Hakan Yucesoy ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Uber		
2	Technologies, Inc., Travis Kalanick, and Ryan Graves ("Defendants") (collectively, the		
3	"Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as		
4	follows:		
5	WHEREAS, on October 21, 2014, Defendants removed this case from the Superior Court		
6	of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Suffolk County to the United States District Court for		
7	the District of Massachusetts;		
8	WHEREAS, on October 28, 2014, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss, or In The		
9	Alternative, Transfer Venue to the United States District Court for the Northern District of		
10	California to be a related case to O'Connor v. Uber, Case No. C-13-3826-EMC, currently		
11	pending before Judge Chen;		
12	WHEREAS, on January 16, 2015, Judge F. Dennis Saylor of the United States District		
13	Court for the District of Massachusetts ordered this case transferred to the United States District		
14	Court for the Northern District of California;		
15	WHEREAS, Defendants' initial deadline to respond to Plaintiff's complaint following		
16	transfer was January 30, 2015;		
17	WHEREAS, on January 27, 2015, the parties entered into and filed a joint stipulation		
18	extending Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint until March 2, 2015.		
19	WHEREAS, on February 26, 2015, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, adding a		
20	second plaintiff and four causes of action. ¹		
21	WHEREAS, on February 26, 2015, Defendants' counsel notified Plaintiff's counsel that		
22	the filing of this Amended Complaint was improper under Federal Rule 15, and Plaintiff's		
23	Plaintiff's counsel erroneously believed the Amended Complaint could be filed as a matter of		
24	right because an Answer has not yet been filed, neglecting to note that Rule 15 was amended in 2009 to require amendments as a matter of right to be filed within 21 days of service of a		
25	Rule 12(b) motion. As soon as Defendants' counsel pointed this out (shortly after the filing), Plaintiff's counsel immediately agreed to withdraw the Amended Complaint and replace it		
26	with a Motion to Amend, if Defendants are going to oppose the filing of the Amended Complaint, or if Defendants are not going to oppose the filing of the Amended Complaint,		
27	Plaintiff's counsel suggested that the Amended Complaint could simply remain on file. Plaintiff's counsel apologizes for this harmless error and has agreed to enter into this		
28	stipulation with Defendants in order to preserve all parties' rights.		

1	NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1,		
2	the deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint be continued until March 17,		
3	2015.		
4	Dated: February 27, 2015	LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.	
5			
6		By: <u>/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan</u> Shannon Liss-Riordan	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	Dated: February 27, 2015	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
9			
10		By: <u>/s/ Sacha M. Steenhoek</u> Robert Jon Hendricks	
11		Sacha M. Steenhoek Attorneys for Defendants	
12			
13	ECF ATTE	ESTATION _	
14	I, Sacha M. Steenhoek, am the ECF User whose ID and Password are being used to file		
15	this STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO		
16	COMPLAINT. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Shannon Liss-		
17	Riordan has concurred in this filing.		
18	Dated: February 27, 2015	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
19	ATES DISTRICT		
20		By /s/ Sacha M. Steenhoek Robert Jon Hendricks	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED	Sacha M. Steenhoek Attorneys for Defendants	
22		UBER ŤECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS KALANICK, and RYAN GRAVES	
23	Edward M. C U.S. Distri		
24	Judge Edward		
25			
26 27	PANDISTRICT OF		

28