raig M. Stainbrook, Calif. State Bar #16087 -mail: craig@stainbrookllp.com TAINBROOK & STAINBROOK, LLP 12 Aviation Boulevard, Suite H anta Rosa, California 95403 07.578.9333 phone 07.578.3133 fax ttorneys for Defendants, onoma County Go Local Cooperative, neorporated and Sustaining Technologies, L	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Kelley Rajala,	Case No.: 15-CV-00442-JST
Plaintiff, vs. Sonoma County Go Local Cooperative, Incorporated, Et Al.,	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS [PROPOSED]-ORDER Honorable Jon S. Tigar
Defendants	
Sonoma County Go Local Cooperative, Incorporated and Sustaining Technologies, LLC,	
Counterclaimants, vs.	
Rajala, Kelley, an individual, and Local Works, Flexible Purpose Corporation, A California Corporation,	
Counterclaim Defendants.	

Defendants/Counterclaimants, Sonoma County Go Local Cooperative, Incorporated and Sustaining Technologies, LLC, and Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants, Kelley Rajala and Local Works, Flexible Purpose Corporation, by and through their respective counsel, jointly request that the Court grant a three-week extension of time by which the parties must file dispositive motions. Should the Court grant this request, the deadline to file dispositive motions would be moved from its current date of June 10, 2016, to July 1, 2016. The grounds for this request are as follows:

1. Pursuant to Court order, the parties engaged in an early neutral evaluation on April 29, 2016, before evaluator Daralyn Durie of Durie Tangri Lemley Roberts & Kent, in San Francisco.

2. The ENE process led to an agreement to settle the dispute, and a term sheet was executed by both parties setting out the material terms of the agreement.

3. The Term Sheet recites that the agreement will be memorialized in a final settlement agreement, and that any disputes regarding the finalization of the agreement will be submitted to Daralyn Durie for resolution via binding arbitration.

4. Since the date of the ENE, the parties, through counsel, have engaged (and continue to engage) in a good faith effort to conclude a final settlement agreement. However, there remain a few provisions over which the parties have yet to agree.

5. Should the parties be unable to finalize the settlement agreement before June 10, 2016, the parties will cooperate in scheduling a binding arbitration with Ms. Durie at a time convenient for all parties and counsel.

6. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, the parties agree that in the interests of fairness, in the interests of resolving the matter without further need of court or neutral evaluator intervention, to preserve the parties' respective rights

- 1 -

to file dispositive motions should the need continue to exist, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant a three-week extension of time to file dispositive 3 motions in this case.

1

2

4

5

6

7. No other dates in the current schedule under the Amended Scheduling Order [Dkt 54], including dates for filing pre-trial briefs and motions, or trial, need be disturbed.

	be distuibed.	
7		Respectfully Submitted,
8 9	Dated: May 27, 2016	STAINBROOK & STAINBROOK, LLP
10		By: <u>/s/ Craig M. Stainbrook</u>
11		
12		Craig M. Stainbrook craig@stainbrookllp.com
13		Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants
14		Sonoma County Go Local Cooperative,
15		Incorporated and Sustaining Technologies, LLC
16		
17		
18	Dated: May 27, 2016	ONE LLP
19		
20		By: /s/ Joanna Ardalan
21		
22		Joanna Ardalan jardalan@onellp.com
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants
24		Kelley Rajala and Local Works, Flexible
25		Purpose Corporation
26		
27		
28		
	ΙΟΙΝΤ STIDUL ΑΤΙΟΝ Α	ND ORDER TO EXTEND ADR DEADLINE
	JUINI SHI ULAHUN A	Case. No. 15-CV-442-JST

1	
2	ORDER
3	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN
4	SHOWN, IT IS ORDERED that the deadline for filing dispositive motions is
5	SHOWN, IT IS OKDERED that the deadline for thing dispositive motions is
6	extended to July 1, 2016.
7	State 6
8	Dated: June 7, 2016
9	Dated: June 7, 2016
10 11	Chr. QD
11	Z Judge Jon S. Tigar
12	
14	DISTRICT OF CE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
28	
~	
	-3-
	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND ADR DEADLINE Case. No. 15-CV-442-JST