

1 CHARLES D. MAY, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 129663
2 GENE B. SHARAGA, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 131661
3 BRIAN J. KIM, ESQ.; STATE BAR NO.: 282538

4 **THARPE & HOWELL, LLP**
5 15250 Ventura Blvd., Ninth Floor
6 Sherman Oaks, California 91403
7 (818) 205-9955; (818) 205-9944 fax
8 E-Mail: cmay@tharpe-howell.com
9 E-Mail: gsharaga@tharpe-howell.com
10 E-Mail: bkim@tharpe-howell.com

11 Attorneys for Defendants,
12 LOWE'S HOME CENTERS, LLC

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

15 ROGER CRAMER,
16 Plaintiff,
17 v.
18 LOWE'S HOME CENTERS, LLC,
19 and
20 DOES 1 TO 20,
21 Defendants.

22 CASE NO.: 15-cv-00480-JST

23 (San Francisco County Superior Court Case
24 No.: SCV256419)

25 **STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

26 Plaintiff ROGER CRAMER ("Plaintiff") and Defendant LOWE HOME
27 CENTERS, LLC ("Defendant") jointly submit this Stipulated Protective Order
28 pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(c)(1) limiting the use and
disposition of certain information, materials, and documents during litigation of this
matter. The parties agree that discovery in this action may yield documents,
materials, and information of a sensitive and confidential nature, including but not
limited to, Defendant's proprietary policies and procedures, security related
information, personnel files of present and former employees, and other confidential
information that may be subject to discovery in the proceedings in this matter but
which should not be made available to the public generally. As a result, the parties
have agreed to this jointly submitted Stipulated Protective Order and request that it
be adopted by order of this Court.

THARPE & HOWELL, LLP
15250 Ventura Boulevard, Ninth Floor
Sherman Oaks, California 91403-3221

1 **Good Cause Statement**

2 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(c)(1) states in pertinent part, that
3 the Court, upon a showing of good cause may “issue an order to protect a party from
4 annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense.” Fed.R.Civ.P.
5 26(c)(1). In the instant matter, Defendant’s Confidential Documents contain
6 proprietary and confidential trade secret information relating to defendant’s business
7 practices and its safety protocol. Defendant derives independent economic value
8 from maintaining the confidentiality of the policies and procedures set forth in these
9 Confidential Documents.

10 Defendant is a retailer in the home improvement industry and has conducted
11 business in California since 1998. The home improvement retail industry is very
12 competitive. As a result of years of investing time and money in research and
13 investigation, defendant developed the policies contained in the Confidential
14 Documents for the purposes of maintaining the security and accessibility of its
15 merchandise, providing quality customer service, and ensuring the safety of its
16 employees and customers. These policies and procedures, as memorialized in the
17 Confidential Documents, were created and generated by Lowe’s for Lowe’s, and are
18 used for the purposes of maintaining safety at its stores and creating efficient and
19 organized work environments for its employees. As a result, defendant is able to
20 minimize the waste of any resources, which is a key factor in generating profitability
21 for its business.

22 Defendant derives economic value from maintaining the secrecy of its
23 Confidential Documents. If disclosed to the public, the trade secret information
24 contained in defendant’s Confidential Documents would reveal defendant’s internal
25 operations and could potentially be used by competitors as a means to compete for
26 its customers, interfere with its business plans and thereby gain unfair business
27 advantages. If defendant’s safety protocol were revealed to the general public, it
28 would hinder defendant’s ability to effectively resolve and minimize liability claims,

1 and its goal of protecting its customers and employees from theft and other crimes.
2 Unrestricted or unprotected disclosure of such information would result in prejudice
3 or harm to Defendant by revealing Lowe's competitive confidential information,
4 which has been developed at the expense of Lowe's and which represents valuable
5 tangible and intangible assets. Accordingly, the parties respectfully submit that there
6 is good cause for the entry of this Protective Order.

7 **Purpose and Limitation**

8 As mentioned above, disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely
9 to involve production of confidential, proprietary, security related, or private
10 information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any
11 purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the
12 parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated
13 Protective Order.

14 The disclosure of any of the foregoing categories of information and/or
15 documentation protected by this Order, including confidential business and financial
16 information identified above, will have the effect of causing harm to the competitive
17 and financial position of the person, firm, partnership, corporation, or to the
18 organization from which the information was obtained. Unprotected disclosure of
19 any of the above identified confidential information may further expose Defendant to
20 unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and/or oppression.

21 The parties acknowledge that this Protective Order does not confer blanket
22 protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it
23 affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information that is
24 entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The parties
25 further acknowledge that this Protective Order does not entitle them to file
26 confidential information under seal. Civil Local Rules 79-5 set forth the procedures
27 that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks
28 permission from the court to file material under seal.

1 The parties having agreed to the following terms governing the treatment of
2 confidential information, and the Court having found that good cause exists for
3 issuance of an appropriately-tailored confidentiality order governing the pre-trial
4 phase of this action, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

5 1. All documents or materials produced or information disclosed and any
6 other documents or records designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” by the Defendant
7 shall be revealed only to a settlement officer, Plaintiff, counsel of record in this case,
8 paralegals and secretarial employees under counsel’s direct supervision, and such
9 persons as are employed by counsel to act as experts in this action. The information
10 designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” and disclosed only in accord with the terms of
11 this paragraph may include, without limitation, documents and information
12 containing Defendant’s policies and procedures, security related information,
13 including surveillance video, as well as personnel records, including disciplinary
14 records, identity, information relating to the processes, operations, type of work, or
15 apparatus, or the production, sales, shipments, transfers, identification of customers,
16 inventories, amount or source of income, profits, losses, expenditures, or any
17 research, development, or any other commercial information supplied by the
18 Defendant in response to Plaintiff’s Interrogatories or Requests for Production.
19 Information and documentation considered “CONFIDENTIAL” are subject to
20 protection under Civil Local Rule 79-5 of the U.S. District Court – Northern District
21 of California, Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and under other
22 provisions of Federal law.

23 2. Counsel for Plaintiff shall use all documents, materials, and/or
24 information produced or disclosed by the Defendant solely for the purposes of
25 preparation for and trial of this action. Under no circumstances shall information or
26 materials covered by this Protective Order be disclosed to anyone other than
27 Plaintiff’s counsel of record in this action, paralegals, secretarial employees under
28 counsel’s direct supervision, and such persons employed to act as experts in this

1 action. At the conclusion of the proceedings in this action, all documents and
2 information subject to this Order, including any copies or extracts or summaries
3 thereof, or documents containing information taken therefrom, shall be returned to
4 counsel for the Defendant, at defense counsel's written request.

5 3. Prior to disclosure of any documents designated as "confidential" to
6 paralegals or secretarial employees of counsel or Plaintiff, counsel for Plaintiff shall
7 require such employees to read this Protective Order and agree to be bound by its
8 terms.

9 4. If counsel for Plaintiff determines that for purposes of this action,
10 documents or information produced by the Defendant and designated as
11 "confidential" must be revealed to a person employed to act as an expert in this
12 action, then counsel may reveal the designated documents or information to such
13 person, after first complying with the following:

14 (a) Counsel for the Plaintiff shall have the expert read this Order and shall
15 explain the contents thereof to such expert.

16 (b) Counsel for the Plaintiff shall require such expert to sign a copy of this
17 protective order that states: "I have read and understood the terms of
18 this protective order. I further agree to be bound by its terms." Nothing
19 in this paragraph shall be deemed to enlarge the right of Defendant to
20 conduct discovery of any of Plaintiff's experts, except solely with
21 respect to the ability of such expert to protect confidential information
22 and documents from re-disclosure.

23 5. In accordance with Local Rule 79-5, if any papers to be filed with the
24 Court contain information and/or documents that have been designated as
25 "Confidential," the proposed filing shall be accompanied by an application to file the
26 papers or the portion thereof containing the designated information or documents (if
27 such portion is segregable) under seal; and the application shall be directed to the
28 judge to whom the papers are directed. For motions, the parties shall publicly file a

1 redacted version of the motion and supporting papers.

2 6. The Court's Order is subject to revocation and modification by Order of
3 the Court upon written stipulation of the parties, or upon motion and reasonable
4 notice, including opportunity for hearing and presentation of evidence.

5 7. Any motion challenging a designation will need to be made in strict
6 compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2.

7 8. Nothing contained in this Order is intended or should be construed as
8 authorizing a party in this action to disobey a lawful subpoena issued in another
9 action.

10 Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff ROGER CRAMER and Defendant LOWE'S
11 HOME CENTERS, LLC hereby request that this Court issue a protective order
12 governing the treatment of confidential information in this matter.

13 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

14 Dated: 9/4/15

THARPE & HOWELL, LLP

15
16 By: 

17 CHARLES D. MAY
18 GENE B. SHARAGA
19 BRIAN J. KIM
20 Attorneys for Defendants
21 LOWE'S HOME CENTERS, LLC

22 Dated: 7/2/15

23 By: 

24 JAN ERIC BOLT
25 Attorney for Plaintiff,
26 ROGER CRAMER

27 **FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

28 Date: September 14, 2015


HON. JON S. TIGAR
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE