UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK LUCIDO, et al, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NESTLÉ PURINA PETCARE COMPANY, a Missouri corporation; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:15-cv-00569-LB

[PR~~O~~POSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES:

1. SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT;

2. INCREASING PAGE LIMITS ON BRIEFS IN CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

(modified)

Having received and reviewed the stipulation of Plaintiffs Frank Lucido, Almaceo Campbell, Laurae Campbell, Karen Phillips, Wayne Colello, Ricky Bisharat, Hope Benham, Robin Benham, Virginia Burgardt, Cynthia Zenakis, Diane Porter, Lance Carlson, Grace Armstrong, Thomas Normand, Sharon Normand, Christina Winters, Robert Bryden, America Pena, Elizabeth Rodarte, and Kacy Kimball (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and defendant Nestlé Purina Petcare Company ("Purina"), the Court rules as follows:

1. Purina shall file its motion for summary judgment by August 25, 2016;

2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to Purina's motion for summary judgment by September 22, 2016;

3. Purina's reply shall be filed by October 13, 2016;

4. The motion shall be noticed for a October 27, 2016 hearing date, or on such other date and time that is convenient for the Court and its calendar;

5. Purina's brief in support of its motion for summary judgment and Plaintiffs' brief in support of its opposition to the motion for summary judgment shall not exceed ~~35~~ 32 pages;

6. Purina's reply brief shall not exceed ~~20~~ 18 pages.

7. If necessary, the parties shall be entitled to make a separate request for additional pages .

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/1, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED
Judge Edward M. Chen
U.S. District Court
Northern District of California