 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 				
San Francisco, CA 94111 Tet: 415-433-0449 Attorneys for Plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS GAIL C. TRABISH, ESQ. (SBN 103482) gtrabish@big.com gtrabish@big.com BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation/27574 8 555 12 ^m Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 834-4350 Facsimile: (510) 839-1897 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 IORETTA ANDRAS, 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 IARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 100ES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 19 Defendants. 10 DEfendants. 11 Defendants. 12 City31820] 13 Defendants. 14 IS Mateo County Superior Court Case # 15	1	THE CARTWRIGHT LAW FIRM		
Fax: 415-433-0449 Attorneys for Plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS GAIL C. TRABISH, ESQ. (SBN 103482) gradish@bjg.com gradish@bjg.com BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation/27574 S55 12 th Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 839-1897 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION Image: the state sta	2			
Atomeys for Plaintiff JORETTA ANDRAS GAIL C. TRABISH, ESQ. (SBN 103482) gradish@bjg.com BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation/27574 \$55 12 th Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 834-4350 Facsimile: (510) 839-1897 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION Image: Composition of the state stat	3			
6 GAIL C. TRABISH, ESQ. (SBN 103482) trabish@bje.com 7 BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A. Professional Corporation/27574 8 555 12 th Street, Suite 1800 0akland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 834-4350 10 Attorneys for Defendant 11 TARGET CORPORATION 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 Image: Composition (27) 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, Case No.: C 15-CV-00613 MEJ 17 Plaintiff Image: Composition (27) 18 vs. Image: Composition (27) 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 10 DEfendants. Curv5318201 22 It IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 24 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 25 ya and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendana	4	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
gtrabish@bjg.com BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation/27574 555 12 ⁿ Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 834-4350 Facsimile: (510) 839-1897 10 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 12 Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 13 US ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 CIV5318201 16 It IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 17 Defendants. 18 Vandue County Superior Court Case # 19 TI IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 10 </td <td>5</td> <td>LORETTA ANDRAS</td> <td></td>	5	LORETTA ANDRAS		
a A Professional Corporation/27574 55 12 [®] Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 839-1897 10 Attorneys for Defendant 11 12 13 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 10 DOEs ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 10 Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 10 ISan Mateo County Superior Court Case # 11 CIV531820 12 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 14 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 15 by and through its attorney or record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 16 TARGET COR	6	gtrabish@bjg.com		
Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 834-4350 Faesimile: (510) 839-1897 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 11 Defendants. 12 Caroplaint Filed: December 19, 2014 13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 14 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 15 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 16 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 16 Its own costs and attorney's fees. 17 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND (PROPOSED] ORDER	í	A Professional Corporation/27574 555 12 th Street, Suite 1800		
Facsimile: (510) 839-1897 Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 20 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 21 Defendants. 22 Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 24 through her attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 24 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1-	8			
Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 Image: Comparison of the example of th	9			
11 TARGÉT CORPORATION 12 INTED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 IORETTA ANDRAS, 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 11 Defendants. 12 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 12 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 12 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 13 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 14 through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 15 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 17 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 16 Its own costs and attorney's fees. 17	10			
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 IORETTA ANDRAS, 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, 17 Plaintiff 18 Vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 11 Defendants. 12 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 12	11			
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 IORETTA ANDRAS, Case No.: C 15-CV-00613 MEJ 16 LORETTA ANDRAS, Flaintiff 17 Plaintiff Flaintiff 18 vs. FIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET FROPOSED ORDER 10 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 12 Defendants. CIV531820] 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 24 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 25 ya and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 26 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- 29 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	12			
15 IORETTA ANDRAS,) Case No.: C 15-CV-00613 MEJ 17 Plaintiff) 18 vs.) STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET) STORES, INC. and DOE EMPLOYEE, and) 20 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive,) Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 21 Defendants.) 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 27 Image: 1-2 28 -1-	13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16 LORETTA ANDRAS,) Case No.: C 15-CV-00613 MEJ 17 Plaintiff) 18 vs.) 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET) 20 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET) 21 Defendants.) 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and 24 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 25 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 26 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- 28 -1-	14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17 Plaintiff 18 vs. 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET STORES, INC. and DOE EMPLOYEE, and DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, 10 Defendants. 11 Defendants. 12 Defendants. 13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 12 -1- 12 -1- 13 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	15			
18 vs. STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND (PROPOSED) ORDER 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET STORES, INC. and DOE EMPLOYEE, and DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 (San Mateo County Superior Court Case # CIV531820] 21 Defendants. CIV531820] 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 27 cits own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND (PROPOSED) ORDER	16	LORETTA ANDRAS,) Case No.: C 15-CV-00613 MEJ	
18 vs. [PROPOSED] ORDER 19 TARGET CORPORATION, TARGET) 20 STORES, INC. and DOE EMPLOYEE, and DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 21 Defendants.) 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 24 through her attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 25 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 26 -1- 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	17	Plaintiff) STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND	
 STORES, INC. and DOE EMPLOYEE, and DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, Defendants. Int IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 	18	vs.		
20 DOES ONE through FIFTY; inclusive, incl	19			
21 Defendants.) CIV531820] 22 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 24 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION 25 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant 26 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- -1-	20		Complaint Filed: December 19, 2014 [San Mateo County Superior Court Case #	
 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- 	21	Defendants.		
 through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to beau its own costs and attorney's fees. STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 	22			
 by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to beau its own costs and attorney's fees. <u>-1-</u> STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 	23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS, by and		
 26 TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28 -1- 28 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 	24	through her attorney or record, John C. Bollier, Esq., and defendant TARGET CORPORATION,		
 27 its own costs and attorney's fees. 28	25	by and through its attorney of record, Gail C. Trabish, Esq., that plaintiff will dismiss, defendant		
28	26	TARGET CORPORATION with prejudice pursuant to F. R C. P. 41(a)(1), with each party to bear		
28 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	27			
	28			
Dockets.Justi			Dockets.Justia.c	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
2	
3	DATED: October 28, 2015
4	THE CARTWRIGHT LAW FIRM
5	By: John C. Bollier
6	JOHN C. BOLLIER, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff LORETTA ANDRAS
7	LORETTA ANDRAS
8	DATED: October 13, 2015
9	BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE A Professional Corporation
10	
11	By: <u>Gail C. Trabish</u>
12	GAIL C. TRABISH, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant
13	TARGET CORPORATION
14 15	
15	ORDER
17	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	
19	DATED: October 29, 2015 By:
20	MARIA ELENA JAMES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ANDRAS V. TARGET CORPORATION, et al USDC Case No. C 15-cv-00613 MEJ