

WOODALL LAW OFFICES
 100 PINE STREET, SUITE 1250
 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
 TELEPHONE: (415) 413-4629
 FACSIMILE: (866) 937-4109
 KEVIN@KWOODALLLAW.COM

KEVIN F. WOODALL, BAR NO. 180650

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, STARVONA HARRIS AND
 THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STARVONA HARRIS, INDIVIDUALLY
 AND ON BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY
 SITUATED,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

BEST BUY STORES, L.P., A LIMITED
 PARTNERSHIP,

DEFENDANT.

) CASE No. 3:15-cv-00657 HSG
)
) STIPULATION TO EXTEND
) DEADLINE FOR FILING AND
) RESPONDING TO MOTION FOR
) SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORDER
) THEREON
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

WHEREAS, at the May 19, 2015 Case Management Conference (“CMC”), the parties informed the Court that: (a) they had not yet started discovery because the Rule 26 conference was held a short time earlier; and (b) if there were no discovery disputes and the parties were able to receive all necessary discovery, they would be in a position to file or defend against a motion for summary judgment related to Plaintiff’s individual claims within in a few months of the CMC;

WHEREAS, based upon the foregoing, the Court set an August 19, 2015 deadline for the parties to bring a summary judgment motion relating to Plaintiff’s individual claims;

STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE; ORDER THEREON

1 WHEREAS, the parties have propounded and engaged in discovery directly after
2 the CMC;

3 WHEREAS, counsel for both parties were traveling and unavailable at different
4 times during July, 2015, which made it impossible to conduct depositions that month;

5 WHEREAS, the parties have had discovery disputes relating to written discovery
6 and depositions since the CMC. The parties are continuing to engage in meet and confer
7 discussions relating to Rule 30(b)(6) deposition topics and written discovery. The parties
8 may need to file a joint discovery brief with the Court to address certain issues. After the
9 issues are resolved, Plaintiff will need to take the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition; and

10 WHEREAS, the Parties need additional time to obtain the necessary discovery to
11 bring or defend against a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
12 Procedure, Rule 56(d).

13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED as follows:

14 (1) The deadline for both parties to file a motion for summary judgment is
15 extended from August 19, 2015 until October 19, 2015; and

16 (2) If a party files a motion for summary judgment prior to October 19, 2015, the
17 other party will have until November 2, 2015 to file opposition papers.

18
19 DATE: AUGUST 7, 2015 WOODALL LAW OFFICES

20
21 BY: /S/KEVIN F. WOODALL
22 KEVIN F. WOODALL
23 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, STARVONA
24 HARRIS AND SIMILARLY SITUATED
25 FORMER AND CURRENT EMPLOYEES OF
26 DEFENDANT
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

DATE: AUGUST 7, 2015

BY: /S/BARBARA J. MILLER
BARBARA J. MILLER
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT BEST BUY
STORES, L.P.

ORDER

For good cause shown, the Court hereby orders that the deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 22) is November 2, 2015.

Dated: August 31, 2015


U.S. District Court Judge