1	MICHAEL T. JONES (SBN 290660)					
2	mjones@goodwinprocter.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP					
3	135 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, California 94025-1105					
4	Tel.: 650.752.3100 Fax.: 650.853.1038					
5	Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Claimant:					
6	ARXAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.					
7	RAJIV DHARNIDHARKA (SBN 234756) rajiv.dharnidharka@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US)					
8	555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105					
9	Tel.: 415.836.2500 Fax.: 415.836.2501					
10						
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff/ Counterclaim- Defendants: <i>WHTIECRYPTION CORPORATION AND</i> <i>INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES, CORPORATION</i>					
12 13	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED IN SIGNATURE BLOCK]					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
17	WHITECRYPTION CORPORATION,	Case No. 3:1	5-CV-00754-WHO			
18	Plaintiff,		STIPULATION AND			
19	v.	DEADLINE	CONTINUE CASE			
20	ARXAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Date:	N/A			
21	Defendant.	Time: Courtroom:	N/A 02			
22	ARXAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	– Judge:	Hon. William H. Orrick 450 Golden Gate Avenue			
23	Counter-Claimant,		San Francisco, CA			
24	v.					
25	WHITECRYPTION CORPORATION; and					
26	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION					
27	Counter-Defendants.					
28						
	STIPULATION & ORDER					
	TO CONTINUE CASE DEADLINES		CASE NO. 3:15-CV-00754-W			

00754-WHO Dockets.Justia com

1	Plaintiff and cross-defendants whiteCryption Corporation ("whiteCryption") and Intertrust				
2	Technologies Corporation ("Intertrust") and defendant and counterclaimant Arxan Technologies,				
3	Inc. ("Arxan") stipulate and agree as follows:				
4	1. On February 18, 2015, whiteCryption initiated the above-captioned action against				
5	Arxan.				
6	2. Arxan answered and counterclaimed against whiteCryption and Intertrust on April				
7	10, 2015.				
8	3. Between May and June 2015, the parties engaged in motion practice regarding the				
9	counterclaims, and on June 18, 2015, the Court granted Intertrust's motion to dismiss with leave to				
10	amend.				
11	4. On June 17, 2015, the Court issued a Civil Pretrial Order setting the deadlines in				
12	this action. ECF No. 35.				
13	5. From June through December 2015, the parties have cooperated on a phased				
14	discovery effort to facilitate settlement discussions. The parties, for example, have exchanged				
15	several document productions, retained software code comparison experts, and completed code				
16	comparisons in an effort to identify and narrow issues for settlement discussions. Document				
17	discovery, however, is not complete, nor have the parties yet taken any depositions, in favor of the				
18	targeted approach described above in the lead up to a settlement discussion.				
19	6. On November 2, 2015, the Court granted the parties' request for a settlement				
20	conference with a magistrate judge and ordered that the parties appear for a conference on January				
21	5, 2016.				
22	7. In light of the settlement conference and likely continued settlement discussions				
23	after the conference if the parties are unable to reach complete resolution on January 5, 2016, the				
24	parties agree that continuing all case deadlines by approximately ninety (90) days would assist in				
25	the parties settlement efforts, as well as conserve the parties' and the Court's resources.				
26	8. Neither party has previously requested an extension or modification of any				
27	deadlines in the Civil Pretrial Order.				
28					
	1				

	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline	
Deadline to amend/add parties	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2016	
Fact discovery cutoff	April 15, 2016	July 15, 2016	
Expert disclosure	April 29, 2016	July 29, 2016	
Expert rebuttal	May 20, 2016	August 22, 2016	
Expert discovery cutoff	June 10, 2016	September 12, 2016	
Dispositive Motions heard by	August 10, 2016	November 9, 2016	
Pretrial Conference	October 7, 2016	January 23, 2017 (or as the Court's calendar allows)	
Trial (jury)	November 14, 2016	February 27, 2017 (or as the Court's calendar allows)	
Dated: December 18, 2015	MICHAI mjones@ HONG-A hvu@goo APRIL S asun@go GOODV Attorney	Respectfully submitted, <u>/s/Michael T. Jones</u> MICHAEL T. JONES (SBN 290660) <i>mjones</i> @goodwinprocter.com HONG-AN VU (SBN 266268) <i>hvu@goodwinprocter.com</i> APRIL SUN (SBN 291633) <i>asun@goodwinprocter.com</i> GOODWIN PROCTER LLP Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Claimant: <i>ArxAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.</i>	

1			
2			Respectfully submitted,
3	Dated: December 18, 2015	By:	/s/Rajiv Dharnidharka
4			RAJIV DHARNIDHARKA rajiv.dharnidharka@dlapiper.com
5			TÖDD NOONAN todd.noonan@dlapiper.com DEBORAH E. MCCRIMMON
6			DEBORAH E. MCCRIMMON deborah.mccrimmon@dlapiper.com
7			DLA PIPER, LLP (US)
8			Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim- DEFENDANTS: WHTIECRYPTION CORPORATION
9			DEFENDANTS: WHTIECRYPTION CORPORATION AND INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES, CORPORATION
10			
11	DUDGUANT TO STIDULATION IT		NDEDED
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	15 50 0	
13	Dated: December 21, 2015	HON	WILLIAM H. ORRICK
14			TED STATED DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER		3
	TO CONTINUE CASE DEADLINES		CASE NO. 3:15-CV-00754-WHO