

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOOP AI LABS INC,
Plaintiff,
v.
ANNA GATTI, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-00798-HSG


**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE
PRETRIAL ORDER AT DKT. NO. 526**

Re: Dkt. No. 529

Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion for relief from Magistrate Ryu's Minute Order setting a deposition schedule, Dkt. No. 526, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion. The Minute Order plainly is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) ("A judge of the court may reconsider any pretrial matter under this subparagraph (A) where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law."). *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); Civ. L.R. 72-2; *United States v. Abonce-Barrera*, 257 F.3d 959, 969 (9th Cir. 2001) (holding that with respect to discovery disputes and other nondispositive orders, a magistrate judge's decision is "entitled to great deference"); *Grimes v. City & Cty. of San Francisco*, 951 F.2d 236, 241 (9th Cir. 1991) ("The reviewing court may not simply substitute its judgment for that of the deciding court.").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/28/2016


HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California