

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5
6 LOOP AI LABS INC,
7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 ANNA GATTI, et al.,
10 Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-00798-HSG

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE
ORDER DKT. NO. 665**


Re: Dkt. No. 707

11 Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion for relief from Magistrate Ryu's Order relating to a
12 dispute that arose during Defendant Anna Gatti's deposition, Dkt. No. 665, the Court DENIES
13 Plaintiff's motion. Gatti answered the question that was the subject of the parties' request for
14 intervention, and any attempt by Plaintiff to compel the production of documents after the close of
15 fact discovery is inappropriate.

16 Accordingly, the Court finds that Magistrate Ryu's order is not clearly erroneous or
17 contrary to law. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) ("A judge of the court may reconsider any pretrial
18 matter under this subparagraph (A) where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is
19 clearly erroneous or contrary to law."). See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); Civ. L.R. 72-2; United
20 States v. Abonce-Barrera, 257 F.3d 959, 969 (9th Cir. 2001) (holding that with respect to
21 discovery disputes and other nondispositive orders, a magistrate judge's decision is "entitled to
22 great deference"); Grimes v. City & Cty. of San Francisco, 951 F.2d 236, 241 (9th Cir. 1991)
23 ("The reviewing court may not simply substitute its judgment for that of the deciding court.").

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: 7/19/2016

26
27 
28 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge