

1 THOMAS E. MULVIHILL, ESQ. (SBN 129906)
 TAMIKO A. DUNHAM, ESQ. (SBN 233455)
 2 ROSEANNE C. LAZZAROTTO, ESQ. (SBN 251001)
 BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE
 3 A Professional Corporation
 4 555 12th Street, Suite 1800
 Oakland, CA 94607
 5 Telephone: (510) 834-4350
 Facsimile: (510) 839-1897
 6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
 ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Wisconsin corporation
 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 11 | ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY, a) | Case No.: 3:15-cv-00862-RS |
| 12 | Wisconsin corporation,) | |
| 13 | Plaintiff,) | PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING |
| 14 | v.) | AUTHENTICITY OF DOCUMENTS |
| 15 | WESTCHESTER FIRE INSURANCE) | The Hon. Richard Seeborg |
| 16 | COMPANY, a Pennsylvania corporation;) | Action Filed: February 25, 2015 |
| 17 | STEADFAST INSURANCE COMPANY, a) | |
| 18 | Delaware corporation; and WILLIAM) | |
| 19 | GALLAGHER ASSOCIATES INSURANCE) | |
| 20 | BROKERS, INC., a Massachusetts) | |
| 21 | corporation,) | |
| 22 | Defendants.) | |

20 THE COURT, having considered the Stipulation of the Parties and good cause appearing,
 21 orders as follows:

- 22 1. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or by any non-party business
 23 entity in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, a document request served upon a party pursuant
 24 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise) in connection with the parties' investigation of the circumstances
 25 underlying this action are deemed authentic for the purposes of this action only, absent good cause. Good
 26 cause would include issues relating to the completeness of the document (e.g., missing or incomplete pages)

1 or any conditions in the actual document or the manner in which it was produced that bring into question
2 whether the document was actually generated by the relevant party or non-party business entity.

3 2. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or by any non-party business
4 entity in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, a document request served upon a party pursuant
5 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise) in connection with the parties' investigation of the circumstances
6 underlying this action shall be deemed to satisfy the evidentiary foundation requirements for
7 admissibility, including under Fed. R. Evid Rule 803 (6), for the purposes of this lawsuit only,
8 absent good cause and subject to all other evidentiary objections to admissibility of the documents,
9 all of which other objections are retained by the parties. Good cause would include circumstances
10 under which the source of the information featured in the document or the circumstances of its
11 preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness.

12

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14

15 DATED: 9/14 , 2016

16



THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28