1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6 7 8 9 10	ERNESTO VALENCIA, et al., Plaintiffs, v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA INC, et al.,	Case No. <u>15-cv-00887-HSG</u> ORDER CLARIFYING ORDER OF DISMISSAL Re: Dkt. No. 100
11	Defendants.	
12	On February 13, 2017, the Court issued an order dismissing this action pursuant to Rule	
13	41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Dkt. No. 100. The order stated in part,	
14	"This litigation is hereby dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice" Id. But here, the named	
15	plaintiffs have asserted each of the five causes of action on behalf of not only themselves, but also	
16	putative class members. See Dkt. No. 98 ¶¶ 123, 139, 155, 163. The Court thus makes express	
17	what is necessarily true as a matter of law about the prior order of dismissal: only the plaintiffs'	
18	individual claims are dismissed with prejudice. Because no class has been certified, this dismissal	
19	ends the case. To the extent the Court has any further jurisdiction over the putative class claims,	
20	those claims are dismissed without prejudice. The Court reaffirms that neither costs nor attorney	
21	fees will be awarded to either party. See Dkt. No. 100.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: 2/15/2017	
24	Haywood S Gul .	
25	HAY WOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. 10 United States District Judge	
26		
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California