Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, Plaintiff,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION CARE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-00941-HSG

ORDER RELATING CASES

Re: Dkt. Nos. 44, 48, 50, 51

Before the Court are four administrative motions to consider whether eight cases filed in this district are related to the above-captioned matter.

The Court finds that the actions "concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction, or event," and that "[i]t appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Civ. L.R. 3-12(a). Accordingly, the Court determines that the following cases are related to 3:15-cv-00941-HSG and reassigns them to the undersigned for all future proceedings:

- 3:15-cv-01212-MEJ
- 3:15-cv-01276-MEJ
- 3:15-cv-01281-MEJ
- 3:15-cv-01297-EDL
- 3:15-cv-01340-EDL
- 3:15-cv-01406-JSC

The Court also determines that Case Nos. 3:15-cv-01301-HSG and 3:15-cv-01408-HSG are related to 3:15-cy-00941-HSG.

The parties are instructed that any future filings in the reassigned cases are to bear the

United States District Court Northern District of California

initials of the undersigned immediately after the case number. The case management conferences in the reassigned cases will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and report required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26 accordingly. Unless otherwise ordered, any noticed dates for motion hearings are vacated and must be re-noticed by the moving party before the undersigned. Any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 1, 2015

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge