28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 THE BURLINGTON INSURANCE 7 Case No. 15-cv-00983-VC COMPANY, 8 Plaintiff, ORDER NOTIFYING THE 9 v. **DEFENDANT UNDER RULE 56(F) AND** REOUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL 10 **BRIEFING** FITNESS ANYWHERE, LLC, et al., Re: Dkt. Nos. 56, 61 11 Defendants. 12 In the papers that WOSS Enterprises has filed in opposition to the Burlington Insurance Company's motion for partial summary judgment, and in support of WOSS Enterprises' own 13 motion for summary judgment against Berkley Assurance Company, WOSS Enterprises has not 14 provided evidence or argument that would support a conclusion that the plaintiff in the underlying 15 case used the allegedly infringing phrases as a slogan. WOSS Enterprises is invited to file a 16 supplemental brief on this issue, not to exceed 10 pages, by no later than Monday, December 7, 17 2015. In addition, WOSS Enterprises is hereby notified that the Court is not merely inclined to 18 grant summary judgment to the Burlington Insurance Company on the current record, but also to 19 enter summary judgment sua sponte in favor of Berkley Assurance Company. WOSS Enterprises 20 is invited to articulate any argument, in the supplemental brief, why the Court should not do that. 21 See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f); Albino v. Baca, 747 F.3d 1162, 1176 (9th Cir. 2014). 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: December 4, 2015 24 25 VINCE CHHABRIA United States District Judge 26 27