

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DERIC DAVIS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GRAMERCY TOWERS CONDOMINIUM  
ASSOCIATION,  
Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-01023-JST  
**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	May 22, 2015 <sup>1</sup>
Fact discovery cut-off	February 5, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive motions	March 2, 2016
Expert disclosures	May 6, 2016
Expert rebuttal	May 15, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	June 15, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	June 21, 2016

<sup>1</sup> Because the deadline to amend the pleadings has already passed, any further amendment will require a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	July 1, 2016 at 2:00 p.m.
Jury Trial	July 25, 2016 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Eight

This case will be tried to a jury.

The previously-set mediation deadline is extended to August 31, 2015, at the suggestion of the parties' mediator and the joint request of the parties.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 24, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge