

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FCE BENEFITS ADMINISTRATORS,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRAINING, REHABILITATION &
DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-01160-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	February 19, 2016
Fact discovery cut-off	September 16, 2016
Expert disclosures	October 7, 2016
Expert rebuttal	October 28, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	November 11, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive motions	December 2, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	February 14, 2016
Pretrial conference	February 24, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Event	Deadline
Trial	March 20, 2017 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Ten

The Court also sets a further Case Management Conference on September 28, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. A Joint Case Management Statement is due by September 21, 2016.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can. The Court will not continue a deadline based on an opposing party's failure to produce discovery if the party seeking the continuance has not been diligent in seeking or compelling the production of that discovery.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2016



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge