

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 ROBERT AMATRONE, et al.,
4 Plaintiffs,
5 v.
6 RANDY CHAMPION, et al.,
7 Defendants.
8

Case No. 15-cv-01356-JST

ORDER VACATING HEARING


Re: ECF No. 93

9 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint.
10 ECF No. 93. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the
11 Court finds the matter suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter,
12 currently scheduled for January 28, 2016, is hereby VACATED.

13 If, however, any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date
14 of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has
15 been licensed to practice law for five or fewer years, and who has not previously presented
16 argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient
17 to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the
18 party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the
19 Court's calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: January 19, 2016



22
23 JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge