Doc. 13

Dockets. Justia.com

Richard Cahill v. Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District

1	Plaintiff RICHARD CAHILL ("Plaintiff") and Defendant GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE,
2	HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT ("Defendant"), by and through their respective
3	counsel of record, hereby stipulate that pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), defendant may have an
4	extension of time, to and including June 11, 2015, to file an Answer to the Complaint.
5	
6	Dated: 5/21/15 STERLING, CLACK & RUSSO
7	
8	By: /s/ David E. Russo David E. Russo
9	Attorneys for Defendant
10	GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT
11	
12	Dated: 5/21/15 LAW OFFICES OF LYLE C. CAVIN, JR.
13	Dated. 5/21/15 Error Offices of Effect C. Crivin, Jr.
14	By: /s/ Lia T. Marks
15	Lia T. Marks Attorneys for Plaintiff
16	RICHARD CAHILL
17	The filer of this document hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been
18	obtained from each of the other signatories.
19	
20	21510615/P/Stip for Ext of Time to Answer Constraint
21	Dated: 5/22/15
22	Dated: 5/22/15  STIT IS SO ORDERED  STIT IS SO ORDERED
23	Judge Joseph C. Spero
24	Judge
25	PAN DISTRICT OF CO
26	
27	
28	