The Law Offices of John L. Burris 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, California 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200

1	JOHN L. BURRIS, ESQ., SBN 69888 DEWITT M. LACY, ESQ., SBN 258789					
2	LATEEF H. GRAY, ESQ., SBN 250055					
3	THE LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS AIRPORT CORPORATE CENTRE					
4	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120					
5	Oakland, California 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200					
6	FACSIMILE: (510) 839-3882					
	john.burris@johnburrislaw.com dewitt.lacy@johnburrislaw.com					
7	lateef.gray@johnburrislaw.com					
8	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff					
9	GARY KENNEDY					
10						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
13						
1						
14	GARY DANIEL JAMES KENNEDY, an	Case No.: 3:15-cv-01404-EMC				
14 15	individual, by and through his Guardian ad	Case No.: 3:15-cv-01404-EMC STIPULATION TO CONTINUE				
	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15	individual, by and through his Guardian ad	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE				
15 16	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; JAKE SPENCER,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; JAKE SPENCER, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH;	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; JAKE SPENCER, individually and in his official capacity as a	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; JAKE SPENCER, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; RYAN MCDONALD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; SANTIAGO	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	individual, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, MISTY KENNEDY Plaintiff, v. JAMES RUBEN BEDGOOD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; BEN PADILLA, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; KRIS DEE, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; JAKE SPENCER, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; RYAN MCDONALD, individually and in his official capacity as a police officer for the	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND				

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER USDC No. 3:15-cv-01404-EMC

1	ANTIOCH; JOHN FORTNER; MATTHEW
	ANTIOCH; JOHN FORTNER; MATTHEW ALLENDORPH, individually and in his
2	official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH; MEGAN MILLER,
	CITY OF ANTIOCH; MEGAN MILLER,
3	individually and in her official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH;
4	police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH;
	JASON VANDERPOOL, individually and in
5	his official capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF ANTIOCH.
	CITY OF ANTIOCH.
6	

Defendants.

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

WHEREAS, there is presently a Status Conference scheduled for May 11, 2017 in this matter (dkt.66);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's counsel sent the executed Settlement and Release Agreement

to Defendant's counsel on April 25, 2017;

WHEREAS, Defendants' counsel expects the settlement check to be ready for

delivery in approximately one week;

WHEREAS, the parties will file a dismissal of the action following Plaintiff's receipt

|| of settlement proceeds negating the need for a Status Conference;

19 WHEREAS, Counsel for all parties agree that it is in the interests of judicial economy 20 and justice, all would benefit from a 30 day continuance of the Status Conference presently 21 22 /// 23 24 /// 25 26 27 /// 28 STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER USDC No. 3:15-cv-01404-EMC

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

		set for May 11, 2017 to June 8, 2017;	
	1		
	2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
	3		
	4 5	Dated: May 5, 2017	THE LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
	6		Den /a/ DaWEtt M. Loan
	7		By: <u>/s/ DeWitt M. Lacy</u> DeWitt M. Lacy
	8		Attorney(s) for Plaintiff
ris	9	Dated: May 5, 2017	By:_* /s/
The Law Offices of John L. Burris 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, Califonia 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200	10	Ducu. 11149 5, 2017	Noah Blechman
Law Offices of John L. Bu 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, California 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200	11		Attorney for Defendants *Mr. Blechman has given his consent for
i ces of ort Stre , Califo ie: (510	12		this document to be electronically filed.
w Off 7 Oakp Jakland elephor	13		
T67 767 C	14		
-	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21 22		
	22		
	23		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER USDC No. 3:15-cv-01404-EMC	

