|   | 2      |  |                                |  |
|---|--------|--|--------------------------------|--|
|   | 3      |  |                                |  |
|   | 4      |  |                                |  |
|   | 5      |  |                                |  |
|   | 6      | KENU, INC.,  | C N 15 01400 ID                |  |
|   | 7<br>8 | Plaintiff,   | Case No. <u>15-cv-01429-JD</u> |  |
|   | 9      | v.   | AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER       |  |
|   | 10     | BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  |                                |  |
|   | 11     | Defendant.   |                                |  |
|   | 12     | The Court sets the following case management deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil       |                                |  |
|   | 13     | Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10. The parties are advised to review and comply with the |                                |  |
| ) | 14     | Court's Standing Order for Civil Cases, Standing Order for Discovery in Civil Cases, and       |                                |  |
|   | 15     | Standing Order for Civil Jury Trials. This order supersedes the prior scheduling order (Dkt.   |                                |  |
|   | 16     | No. 66) issued in Kenu v. BHH LLC et al., Case No. 14-cv-04327-JD.                             |                                |  |
|   | 17     |  |                                |  |

| 18             | Event   | Deadline          |
|----------------|---|-------------------|
| 19<br>20       | Plaintiff Serves and Files Opening Claim Construction Brief<br>and Any Supporting Evidence [Patent L.R. 4-5]  | November 29, 2016 |
| 21             | Defendant Serves and Files Responsive Claim Construction<br>Brief and Any Supporting Evidence [Patent L.R. 4-5]   | December 13, 2016 |
| 22<br>23<br>24 | Plaintiff Serves and Files Any Reply Brief and Any Evidence<br>Directly Rebutting the Supporting Evidence Contained in<br>Defendant's Responsive Claim Construction Brief [Patent L.R.<br>4-5]                                | December 20, 2016 |
| 25<br>26<br>27 | Parties' Amended, Final Joint Claim Construction Statement if<br>there has been any change in the parties' claim construction<br>positions [Standing Order for Patent Cases Before Judge James<br>Donato ¶ 6 (June 20, 2014)] | December 20, 2016 |

United States District Court Northern District of California 1

| t                                   | nia                            |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Jnited States District Court</b> | Vorthern District of Californi |
| es Distr                            | strict of                      |
| ted Stat                            | nern Dis                       |
| Uni                                 | Nort                           |

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| Event   | Deadline                                      |
|---|---|
| Counsel Exchange Exhibits Re: Claim Construction Hearing<br>[Standing Order for Patent Cases Before Judge James Donato<br>¶ 12 (June 20, 2014)] | 48 hours before Claim<br>Construction Hearing |
| Claim Construction Hearing [Patent L.R. 4-6; Standing Order<br>for Patent Cases Before Judge James Donato ¶¶ 10, 13 (June<br>20, 2014)]         | January 19, 2017 at 3 p.m                     |
| Close of Fact Discovery   | February 22, 2017                             |
| Exchange of Expert Reports (From Party Having Burden of Proof)  | March 15, 2017                                |
| Rebuttal of Expert Reports  | April 5, 2017                                 |
| Close of Expert Discovery   | April 26, 2017                                |
| Deadline for filing dispositive motions, including <i>Daubert</i> motions   | May 18, 2017                                  |
| Pretrial Conference   | September 14, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.               |
| Trial   | October 2, 2017                               |

All dates set by the Court should be regarded as firm. Counsel may not modify these dates by stipulation without leave of court. Requests for continuances are disfavored, and scheduling conflicts that are created subsequent to the date of this order by any party, counsel or party-

19 controlled expert or witness will not be considered good cause for a continuance. Sanctions may

issue for a failure to follow a scheduling or other pretrial order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f)(1)(C).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 8, 2016

JAMES DONATO United States District Judge

2