1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	TOK THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	THOMAS HAUSCHILD,	No. C 15-01556 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER RE MOTION FOR DETERMINATION THAT
13	CITY OF RICHMOND, et al.,	PLAINTIFF IS NOT ENTITLED TO A JURY TRIAL
14	Defendants.	
15	/	
16	This order clarifies that plaintiff's claim for violation of POBR, the only claim	
17	remaining in this case (Dkt. No. 78), shall proceed to a bench trial. This is based on plaintiff's	
18	counsel's previous representations that the POBR issue would be tried before the judge (Dkt.	
19	No. 108-1 Exh. A at 3:25–4:9). A subsequent order continuing the trial date erred in setting a	
20	jury trial (Dkt. No. 100). This order corrects that mistake.	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23 24		. 04
24 25	Dated: November 22, 2016.	WILLIAM ALSUP
23 26		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20 27		
27		
_0		

Dockets.Justia.com