

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, *et al.*,) Case No. 3:15-cv-1590-HSG
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.) **ORDER ON JOINT PROPOSED**
) **BRIEFING SCHEDULE**
)
TOM VILSACK, *et al.*,)
)
Defendants.)

PURSUANT TO STPIULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

The following schedule governs the production of the Administrative Record in this case:

Defendants' production of the Administrative Record	On or before December 5, 2016
Plaintiffs' motion to challenge the completeness of the Administrative Record, if any	On or before January 20, 2017

Should Plaintiffs decide to file a motion to challenge the completeness of the Administrative Record, Civil Local Rule 7 should govern the form, length, and timing of the motion, as well as any opposition, and reply associated with that motion.


If there are no issues regarding the scope of the Administrative Record and no motion practice regarding the scope of the Administrative Record is necessary, the following briefing schedule will govern the cross-motions for summary judgment in this case:

1	Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment	On or before February 20, 2017
2	Defendants' opposition and cross-motion for summary judgment	On or before March 23, 2017
3		
4	Plaintiffs' opposition and reply	On or before April 6, 2017
5	Defendants' reply	On or before April 20, 2017
6		

7 For Defendants' opposition and cross-motion for summary judgment, Defendants may
8 file a consolidated brief not to exceed fifty (50) pages. For Plaintiffs' opposition and reply,
9 Plaintiffs may file a consolidated brief not to exceed forty (40) pages.

10 If Plaintiffs file a motion to challenge the completeness of the Administrative Record on
11 or before January 20, 2016, the above briefing schedule for cross-motions for summary judgment
12 will be suspended, and the parties shall submit a new briefing schedule for cross-motions for
13 summary judgment within seven days of the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' motion challenging the
14 completeness of the Administrative Record.

15
16 Dated: November 3, 2016

17 
18 Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
19 U.S. District Court Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28