1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 $ $	NORTHERN DISTRI SAN FRANCIS NEAS LIMITED, individually in its own right and derivatively on behalf of NITOL SOLAR LIMITED; and ANDREY TRETYAKOV, Plaintiffs, v. OJSC RUSNANO; RUSNANO MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; RUSNANO CAPITAL, A.G.; RUSNANO CAPITAL, LLC; RUSNANO USA, INC.; FONDS RUSNANO CAPITAL, A.G.; ANATOLY CHUBAIS; OLEG KISELEV; IRINA RAPPAPORT; SERGEY	D; RUSNANO MANAGEMENT COMPANY, CAPITAL, LLC; FONDS RUSNANO KISELEV; IRINA RAPOPORT; SERGEY DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
23	IRINA RAPPAPORT; SERGEY	
24	POLIKARPOV; VALERY ROSTOKIN; SHERIGO RESOURCES LIMITED; and JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10,	
25	Defendants,	
	and	
26		
26 27	NITOL SOLAR LIMITED,	

1	WHEREAS, on September 30, 2016, the Court granted in part Plaintiffs' Motion		
2	for Relief from Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 82) (the "Discovery		
3	Order") and authorized Plaintiffs to pursue specified jurisdictional discovery directed toward		
4	Defendants OJSC RUSNANO, Anatoly Chubais and Oleg Kiselev;		
5	WHEREAS, on November 11, 2016, the Court issued its order scheduling a case		
6	management conference for December 22, 2016 and asking the parties to provide by December		
7	15, 2016 an update regarding their next steps, including those for the pending motions to dismiss		
8	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (Dkt. Nos. 46 and 50) (the "Motions");		
9	WHEREAS, by Stipulated Order, dated December 13, 2016, the Court extended		
10	the deadline for submission of the parties' update to February 6, 2017 and continued the case		
11	management conference to February 16, 2017 (Dkt. No. 94);		
12	WHEREAS, following the deposition of Anatoly Chubais held on January 31,		
13	2017, the parties met and conferred regarding their next steps including, without limitation,		
14	amendments to the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 43) following the completion of		
15	jurisdictional discovery and the impact of said amendment on the pending Motions;		
16	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that on or before February 28, 2017, Plaintiffs		
17	will either (i) file a Second Amended Complaint, or (ii) inform Defendants that Plaintiffs will rest		
18	on their First Amended Complaint, in either of which cases Defendants will file new motions to		
19	dismiss the First or the Second Amended Complaint, as the case may be, and Defendants'		
20	pending Motions will be considered withdrawn;		
21	WHEREAS, the parties wish to agree on a schedule to govern further briefing in		
22	this matter;		
23	WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the parties believe that the case management		
24	conference should be continued until after the Court resolves Defendants' anticipated motions to		
25	dismiss;		
26	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1		
27	and 6-2, as follows:		
28	1. On or before February 28, 2017, Plaintiffs will either file a Second Amended		

1	Complaint or inform Defendants' counsel in writing that Plaintiffs will rest on the First Amended		
2	Complaint;		
3	2. On or before March 24, 2017, Defendants will move to dismiss the First Amended		
4	Complaint or will move or answer with respect to the Second Amended Complaint, as the case		
5	may be, and Defendants' pending Motions will be considered withdrawn;		
6	3. If Defendants move to dismiss, Plaintiffs shall serve their papers in response to		
7	Defendants' motions to dismiss on or before April 24, 2017, and Defendants shall serve their		
8	reply papers on or before May 12, 2017. No surreply papers shall be filed on any such motion,		
9	except by leave of Court granted on motion for good cause shown, on such terms and conditions		
10	as the Court deems appropriate.		
11	4. The case management conference currently scheduled for February 16, 2017 shall		
12	be taken off calendar and rescheduled on a date convenient for the Court.		
13	5. This stipulation is without prejudice to all claims, rights, defenses and positions of		
14	each of Plaintiffs and each of Defendants.		
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
16			
17	DATED: February 6, 2017 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP		
18	By: <u>/s/ Robert L. Sills</u>		
19	Karen Johnson-McKewan Robert L. Sills		
20	Olga N. Sirodoeva Attorneys for OJSC Rusnano; Rusnano		
21	Management Company, LLC; Rusnano Capital, A.G.; Rusnano Capital, LLC; Fonds Rusnano		
22	Capital, S.A.; Anatoly Chubais; Oleg Kiselev;		
23	Irina Rapoport; Sergey Polikarpov; and Valery Rostokin		
24	DATED: February 6, 2017 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PC		
25			
26	By: <u>/s/ Rodney Grant Strickland, Jr.</u> Rodney Grant Strickland, Jr.		
27	Attorneys for Defendant Rusnano USA, Inc.		
28			
	- 2 -		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1	DATED: February 6, 2017	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
2		
3		
4		By: <u>/s/ Ely Goldin</u> Ely Goldin
5		W. Christain Moffitt Michael A. Sweet
6		Jack Praetzellis Attorneys for Plaintiffs NEAS Limited,
7		individually and in its own right and derivatively on behalf of Nitol Solar Limited, and Andrey
8		Tretyakov
9		
10		
11		EGOING STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED,
12	Conference for June 8, 2017 at	case, the court calendars a Case Management
13		
14	Dated:02/07/2017	
15		Hon. Laurel Beeler United States Magistrate Judge
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2
		- 3 -