

1 DAVID M. GIVEN (SBN 142375)  
 2 dmg@phillaw.com  
 3 PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE, GIVEN & CARLIN LLP  
 39 Mesa Street, Suite 201  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94129  
 Telephone: 415-398-0900  
 Fax: 415-398-0911

5 DANIEL SMOLEN, OBA #19943 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 6 danielsmolen@ssrok.com  
 7 DONALD E. SMOLEN, II, OBA #19944 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 donaldsmolen@ssrok.com  
 8 ROBERT M. BLAKEMORE, OBA #18656 (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 bobblakemore@ssrok.com  
 9 SMOLEN SMOLEN & ROYTMAN, PLLC  
 701 South Cincinnati Avenue  
 10 Tulsa, OK 74119  
 Telephone: (918) 585-2667  
 11 Fax: (918) 585-2669

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13  
 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17 SHAUN A. ANDERSON, etc.,  
 18 Plaintiff,  
 19 v.  
 20 COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, a  
 municipal corporation, et al.,  
 21 Defendants.  
 22

Case No. 15-CV-01673-RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
 ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO  
 COMPLETE MEDIATION**

ADR L.R. 6-5 & Civil L.R. 7

PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE, GIVEN & CARLIN LLP  
 39 Mesa Street, Suite 201  
 San Francisco, CA 94129  
 Telephone: (415) 398-0900

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The parties to the above-entitled action, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following:

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2015, the Court entered a Case Management Scheduling Order (“Scheduling Order”), setting the discovery cutoff date of June 17, 2016. *See* Dkt #42.

WHEREAS, the Scheduling Order referred the parties to the ADR Department for the purpose of engaging in “panel mediation to take place, ideally, within the next 120 days.”

WHEREAS, the parties engaged the ADR Department, the Department selected a mediator (John F. Barg) and Mr. Barg held two pre-mediation telephone conferences with the parties.

WHEREAS, since the entry of the Scheduling Order, the parties have been attempting to resolve certain discovery issues without the Court’s intervention prior to the mediation.

WHEREAS, the parties resolved that they needed additional time to schedule depositions critical to the mediation.

WHEREAS, in the second pre-mediation telephone conference, the parties and Mr. Barg agreed that the mediation, currently set for January 12, 2016 (*see* 11/5/2015 Minute Entry), would not be productive if held on that date, and that the date should be rescheduled.

WHEREAS, the parties would like the opportunity to further evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions as well as to be better prepared for meaningful discussions toward the resolution of this case.

WHEREAS, the parties resolved with Mr. Barg’s approval that extending the deadline to complete mediation, and resetting the mediation date accordingly, may preserve the Court’s and the parties’ resources should the mediation prove fruitful.



PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE, GIVEN & CARLIN LLP  
39 Mesa Street, Suite 201  
San Francisco, CA 94129  
Telephone: (415) 398-0900

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for completing mediation in this case shall be July 17, 2016.

Dated: 1/8/16



Honorable Richard Seeborg  
United States District Judge