1 2 3 4	MASSEY & GAIL LLP LEONARD A. GAIL (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) lgail@masseygail.com 50 East Washington Street, Suite 400 Chicago, IL 60602 Tel: 312/283-1590; Fax: 312/379-0467	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JONATHAN S. MASSEY (admitted pro hac vice) jmassey@masseygail.com 1325 G St., N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel.: 202/652-4511; Fax: 312/379-0467 PAMELA T. JOHANN (No. 145558) pjohann@masseygail.com 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 312/283-1590; Fax: 312/379-0467 Attorneys for Defendant	
12	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.	
13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17 18 19 20	KEVIN J. KEEN, an individual; TAMRA E. KEEN, an individual; CURT CONYERS, an individual; KELLY E. CONYERS, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	No. 3:15-CV-1806-WHO STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
21222324	v. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., Defendant.	
25 26 27 28		

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-1(a)(5), 7-12, and 16-2, Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase") and Plaintiffs Kevin J. Keen et al., by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- On July 2, 2015, this Court entered an order continuing the Case
 Management Conference in this matter to September 15, 2015. This order was entered after a stipulated motion to continue the Case Management Conference in light of a pending
 Motion to Dismiss, which was set for hearing on August 5, 2015
 - 2. On July 14, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint.
- 3. The parties are concurrently submitting a stipulation and proposed order to extend the time for Chase to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint to August 28, 2015.
- 4. Chase intends to file a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, which will raise issues that are potentially dispositive of this matter, or, alternatively, that might limit or otherwise affect the legal and factual contours of this case. Counsel for Chase has conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs, and both parties agree that in the name of judicial efficiency and economy, it would be prudent to delay the Case Management Conference until after the motion is resolved.
- 4. This stipulated request would not require any change to the ADR process or schedule. The parties have met and conferred regarding ADR process selection and agree that the case would not benefit from ADR at this time. The parties have submitted their ADR Certifications and Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. No ADR Phone Conference has been scheduled, and the parties agree that any such ADR Phone Conference should be held after the Motion to Dismiss has been resolved in advance of the rescheduled Case Management Conference.

1	Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate and jointly move this Court to continue the	
2	Case Management Conference to November 10, 2015, or to such date as the Court deems	
3	convenient following the resolution of the Motion to Dismiss.	
4	Dated: July 20, 2015 William McGrane, Esq.	
5	bated: July, 2013 William We Grane, Esq.	
6	war J. Kara	
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
	Dated: July 20, 2015 Leonard A. Gail, Esq.	
8	Econard 11. Gan, Esq.	
9	/s/ Leonard A. Gail	
10	Attorney for Defendant	
11		
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13		
14	Dated: July 22, 2015	
15	The Honorable William H. Orrick	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		