1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	Northern District of California	
10	San Francisco	
11	MYRAH MARTINEZ, et al., No. 3:15-cv-01953-JST (LB)	
12	Plaintiffs, v. NOTICE OF REFERRAL AND	
13	v. NOTICE OF REFERRAL AND ORDER RE DISCOVERY PROCEDURES	
14	Defendants.	
15	/	
16	The district court referred a discovery letter to the undersigned. (See ECF No.40.) The court will	
17	review it and evaluate whether further proceedings are necessary, including any further briefing of	or
18	argument. Going forward, the parties must comply with the undersigned's standing order (attached	ed).
19	The dispute procedures in it require, among other things, that if a meet-and-confer by other mean	IS
20	does not resolve the parties' dispute, lead counsel for the parties must meet and confer in person	(if
21	counsel are local) and then submit a joint-letter brief with information about any unresolved	
22	disputes. If counsel cannot navigate the filing of a joint letter brief, they may schedule a short cas	se
23	management conference call through courtroom deputy Lashanda Scott. The letter brief must be	
24	filed under the Civil Events category of "Motions and Related Filings > Motions – General >	
25	Discovery Letter Brief." After reviewing the joint letter brief, the court will evaluate whether futu	ure
26	proceedings are necessary, including any further briefing or argument.	
27	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
28	Dated: October 21, 2015 LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge	
	NOTICE OF REFERRAL AND ORDER No. 3:15-cy-01953-JST (LR)	