28

///

1

2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 JOHN F. WALTERS, Case No. <u>15-cv-01967-EMC</u> 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR 9 v. ATTORNEY'S FEES 10 NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Docket No. 21 11 Defendant. 12 13 The Court has reviewed Mr. LaPorte's fee motion and the government's response thereto, 14 as well as a declaration from Mr. Laporte's client, Mr. Walters, supporting the fee motion. The 15 Court finds that the fee request is reasonable. There is no indication that Mr. LaPorte engaged in any unnecessary delay. Also, the effective hourly rate of \$393 (i.e., \$15,134.71 \div 38.5 hours) is 16 less than Mr. LaPorte's asserted \$450 noncontingent rate. Finally, the Court notes that the 17 18 effective hourly rate is roughly comparable to that awarded to Mr. Laporte in another Social 19 Security decision. See Speciale v. Berryhill, No. 16-cv-01659-BLF, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53327, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 28, 2019) (taking note that, "based on Counsel's representation that 20 he spent 32.5 hours on the case, a fee award in the amount requested would result in an effective 21 hourly rate of approximately \$335"). 22 23 /// /// 24 25 /// /// 26 27 ///

United States District Court Northern District of California

Accordingly, the fee motion is hereby GRANTED . Mr. LaPorte is awarded \$15,134.71 in
fees. Mr. LaPorte shall promptly reimburse the EAJA award (\$7,300) to Mr. Walters.
This order disposes of Docket No. 21.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: July 26, 2019 EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge