1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	AMY MEADOWS, et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 15-cv-02139-JST	
8		ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTAL	
9	V.	BRIEFING	
10	DICKEY'S BARBECUE RESTAURANTS INC.,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	Now before the Court is Defendant Dickey's Barbecue Restaurants, Inc.'s Motion to		
14	Compel Arbitration. ECF No. 15. The Court heard argument on the motion on August 20, 2015.		
15	For the reasons stated at the hearing, the Court now orders the parties to submit supplemental		
16	briefing on the following questions:		
17	1. Whether, in light of <u>Mortensen v. Bresnan Commc'ns, LLC</u> , 722 F.3d 1151, 1153		
18	(9th Cir. 2013), this Court must conclude that the Texas choice-of-law provision in the parties'		
19	Franchise Agreements is valid, and apply that clause in this proceeding.		
20	2. Whether the arbitration clause of the Franchise Agreement is unconscionable or		
21	otherwise unenforceable under Texas law.		
22	Plaintiffs will submit a brief of not more than ten pages addressing these topics by August		
23	31, 2015. Defendants will submit a brief of not more than ten pages addressing these topics by		
24	September 7, 2015. The Court particularly seeks factually analogous, relevant authorities that the		
25	parties have not already cited.		
26	///		
27	///	///	
28	///		

1	Unless the Court sets the matter for further argument, Dickey's motion will then stand
2	under submission.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: August 27, 2015
5	m. nem
6	JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2