

1 Lisa C. Hamasaki (SBN 197628)
 2 *lch@millerlawgroup.com*
 3 Shannon R. Clawson (SBN 273699)
 4 *sclawson@millerlawgroup.com*
 5 MILLER LAW GROUP
 6 A Professional Corporation
 7 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700
 8 San Francisco, CA 94104
 9 Tel. (415) 464-4300
 10 Fax (415) 464-4336

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 12 AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LLC

13 **NEUMANN LAW**

14 3558 ROUND BARN BLVD., SUITE 200
 15 SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA 95403
 16 (707) 636-4295

17 MICHELLE DYE NEUMANN (STATE BAR #303601)

18 **GEARINGER LAW GROUP**

19 740 FOURTH STREET
 20 SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA 95404
 21 (415) 440-3102

22 BRIAN GEARINGER (STATE BAR #146125)

23 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF SARA McENROE

MILLER LAW GROUP
 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
 CALIFORNIA

24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 25 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

26 SARA McENROE,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LLC, and DOES
 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: C 15-02190 HSG (SK)

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION AND
 REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE
 FACT DISCOVERY DEADLINE FOR
 DEFENDANT LIMITED TO ISSUES
 STEMMING FROM CERTAIN DISCOVERY
 REQUESTS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF'S
 ALLEGED DAMAGES**

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam

450 Golden Gate Avenue
 San Francisco, California 94102

Complaint filed: April 14, 2015
 Trial Date: January 23, 2017

1 Plaintiff SARA MCENROE and Defendant AT&T Mobility Services LLC
2 (“Defendant” or “AT&T”) (collectively, “the Parties”), through their counsel of record, filed a
3 stipulation and request for extension of the fact discovery deadline for Defendant, which
4 would be limited to issues stemming from certain discovery requests concerning Plaintiff’s
5 alleged damages set forth in Defendant’s Requests for Production, Set Two and
6 Interrogatories, Set Two.

7
8 After full consideration of the stipulation and request submitted by the parties,
9 the supporting declaration, and all other matters presented to this Court, and good cause
10 appearing therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the parties’ stipulation and request is
11 hereby GRANTED to allow time for Defendant to conduct discovery limited to issues that
12 stem from Plaintiff’s responses to Defendant’s Requests for Production, Set Two, and
13 Interrogatories, Set Two, including depositions and written discovery, which the Parties
14 understand shall be discovery related to Plaintiff’s alleged damages for emotional distress
15 and alleged compensatory damages and mitigation efforts. The Court HEREBY ORDERS
16 THAT:


- 17
- 18 ◆ Plaintiff shall respond to Defendant’s Requests for Production, Set Two,
19 and Interrogatories, Set Two, on or by July 1, 2016;
- 20 ◆ The fact discovery cut-off to conduct discovery on issues that stem from
21 Plaintiff’s responses to Defendant’s Requests for Production, Set Two,
22 and Interrogatories, Set Two, including depositions and written
23 discovery, which the Parties understand shall be discovery related to
24 Plaintiff’s alleged damages for emotional distress and alleged
25 compensatory damages and mitigation efforts (e.g., depositions of
26 Plaintiff’s health care providers and records from Plaintiff’s subsequent
27 employers, if any) – and for this discovery only – shall be extended to
28 August 5, 2016;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- ◆ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 37-3, no motion to compel fact discovery by Defendant in relation to the above-discussed discovery may be filed more than 7 days after the amended fact discovery cut-off of August 5, 2016;
- ◆ No other deadlines or dates set forth in the operative Scheduling Order issued on May 13, 2016 (Dkt. No. 69) shall be altered or otherwise affected by this Order.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 17, 2016


Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam
United States District Judge

4830-0069-2274, v. 1