

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 TAZMA DIWALI ANAYA,
7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
10 FRANCISCO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
11 et al.,
12 Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-02230-SI](#)

**DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE
FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 20, 21

13 Pro se plaintiff Tazma Diwali Anaya's time to serve defendants with service of process
14 expired, after extension, on November 16, 2015. Since the Court granted plaintiff a 60-day
15 extension for service, plaintiff has filed two motions for an extension of time to amend her
16 complaint but has not served defendants. *See* Dkt. Nos. 18, 20, 21.

17 This Court has issued three orders reminding plaintiff of her obligation to serve defendants
18 with process, stating the deadline by which to do so, and notifying her that failure to serve
19 defendants could result in dismissal of the case without prejudice. *See* Dkt. Nos. 12, 15, 18. Two
20 of these orders have informed plaintiff of the availability of the Legal Help Desk, which could
21 advise plaintiff concerning how to accomplish service of process. *See* Dkt. Nos. 12, 18.

22 The Court declines to issue further extensions at this time. **This action is DISMISSED**
23 **without prejudice for failure to effect service of process as ordered by the Court.**

24
25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: November 19, 2015

27 

28
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge