

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREESLATE, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
CHEMSPEED TECHNOLOGIES AG and
CHEMSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Defendants.

No. C 15-2233 WHA

**ORDER DENYING PRO
HAC VICE APPLICATIONS**

The *pro hac vice* applications of Attorneys Thomas Carter, Michelle Eber, Scott Partridge, and Thomas Rooney (Dkt. No. 42–45) are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of *the highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 23, 2015.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California