

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCY VELASQUEZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
ENGELBERTO TEJEDA, et al.,
Defendants.

No. C 15-2261 MMC

**ORDER REFERRING TO MAGISTRATE
JUDGE PLAINTIFF'S LETTER RE: SITE
INSPECTION**

The Court is in receipt of plaintiff Marcy Velasquez's letter,¹ filed November 10, 2015, in which plaintiff states defendants refuse to conduct a joint inspection of the premises; she requests "a phone conference be set to resolve this matter or sanctions be issued against [d]efendants." Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 72-1, plaintiff's letter and all further discovery matters are hereby REFERRED to a Magistrate Judge to be heard and considered at the convenience of the assigned Magistrate Judge's calendar.

After the parties have met and conferred, see Civil L.R. 37-1, the parties shall prepare a joint letter of not more than 8 pages explaining the dispute; up to 12 pages of attachments may be added. The joint letter must be electronically filed under the Civil Events category of "Motions and Related Filings > Motions - General > Discovery Letter

¹For future reference, plaintiff is reminded that any written request to the Court for an order must be presented by motion or stipulation. See Civil L.R. 7-1(a).

1 Brief.” The Magistrate Judge to whom the matter is assigned will advise the parties as to
2 how that Magistrate Judge intends to proceed. The Magistrate Judge may issue a ruling,
3 order more formal briefing, or set a telephone conference or a hearing.

4 The parties will be advised of the date, time and place of the next appearance, if
5 any, by notice from the assigned Magistrate Judge’s chambers.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 Dated: November 18, 2015


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28