1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	CHARLES E. WARD, individually, and on No. C 15-02309 WHA
10	behalf of all others similarly situated,
11	Plaintiff,
12	V.
13	UNITED AIRLINES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, ORDER APPROVING PROPOSED PLAN FOR
14	Defendants. Defendants. Defendants.
15	/ STATED CONDITIONS
16	The Court has reviewed the parties' proposed plan for disseminating class notice except
17	that the paragraph describing United's position on the lawuit shall be modified as follows:
18	United denies all of Plaintiff's claims and contends, among other things, that I abor Code Section 226 does not apply to pilots and
19	things, that Labor Code Section 226 does not apply to pilots and that United nonetheless complies with Labor Code Section 226. United also contends that federal statutes and the United States
20	Constitution preempt the application of Labor Code Section 226 in this case. The Court has permitted United to file an early motion
21	for summary judgment on its preemption theory. That motion will not be heard or decided until after the deadline to opt-out has
22	passed. If it is granted, then it may prejudice the claims of all members of the class.
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25 26	
26 27	Dated: April 13, 2016. WILLIAM ALSUP
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California