

1 HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
 Thomas D. Leland (Pro Hac)
 2 Leah E. Capritta (Pro Hac)
 633 Seventeenth Street, Suite 2300
 3 Denver, Colorado 80202
 Telephone (303) 974-6660
 4 Fax: (303) 974-6659
 Email: thomas.leland@hklaw.com
 5 leah.capritta@hklaw.com

6 Charles L. Coleman III (65496)
 7 50 California Street, Suite 2800
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 8 Telephone: (415) 743-6900
 Fax: (415) 743-6910
 9 E-mail: charles.coleman@hklaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 UNITED ENERGY TRADING, LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 UNITED ENERGY TRADING, LLC,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
 18 COMPANY, a California corporation;
 19 ALBERT TORRES, an individual; BILL
 20 CHEN, an individual; TANISHA
 ROBINSON, an individual,

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:15-CV-2383-RS

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
 STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND
 TIME TO PRODUCE EXPERT AND
 EXPERT REBUTTAL DISCLOSURES
 PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-
 1(b), 6-2, 7-12

Action Filed: May 28, 2015
 Current Deadlines: Jan. 9, 2017 and
 Apr. 18, 2017
 New Deadlines: Apr. 10, 2017 and
 June 19, 2017

[Stipulation and Declaration of Leah E.
 Capritta in support thereof filed concurrently
 herewith]

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME**

2 The Stipulated Request to Extend Time to Produce Expert and Expert Rebuttal
3 Disclosures, Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, 7-12 (“Stipulated Request”), agreed to by
4 Plaintiff United Energy Trading, LLC (“UET”) and Defendants Pacific Gas and Electric
5 Company (“PG&E”), Albert Torres, Bill Chen and Tanisha Robinson (collectively, the
6 “Individual Defendants,” and together with PG&E, the “Defendants”), was submitted for Court
7 approval on December 20, 2016. Having considered the Stipulated Request, and all other
8 pleadings and papers on file in this Action, the Court rules as follows:

9 WHEREAS plaintiff, United Energy Trading, LLC (“UET”), filed this action on May 28,
10 2015;

11 WHEREAS, on May 13, 2016, UET filed its Second Amended Complaint;

12 WHEREAS, on August 25, 2016, Defendants Pacific Gas & Electric Company
13 (“PG&E”), Albert Torres, William Chen and Tanisha Robinson (collectively, the “Individual
14 Defendants,” and together with PG&E, the “Defendants”) filed an Answer to UET’s Second
15 Amended Complaint;

16 WHEREAS, the parties previously stipulated and requested that the Court extend the
17 deadlines for expert discovery such that the parties would have until January 9, 2017 to file
18 Expert Disclosures and until April 18, 2017 to file Rebuttal Expert Disclosures (Dkt. 115);

19 WHEREAS, the Court granted that request (Dkt. 116);

20 WHEREAS, discovery is continuing and the parties are continuing to produce documents
21 in response to written Requests;

22 WHEREAS, multiple discovery disputes regarding the timing and scope of production of
23 additional documents by PG&E are pending before Magistrate Judge Kim;

24 WHEREAS, the enlargement sought by this Stipulated Request will conserve resources
25 for all parties because it will ensure that the parties’ experts have adequate time to limit their
26 review to the information relevant to and prepare opinions on the live claims;

27 WHEREAS, all parties have agreed that they shall have until April 10, 2017 to file Expert
28 Disclosures and until June 19, 2017 to file Rebuttal Expert Disclosures;

1 WHEREAS, good cause for this extension exists given the progress of the case to date;
2 WHEREAS, all parties have agreed that the current expert disclosure schedule required by
3 the Scheduling Order should be enlarged as follows:

Pleading	Standard Schedule	Stipulated Enlargement
Expert Disclosure Deadline	January 9, 2017	April 10, 2017
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure Deadline	April 18, 2017	June 19, 2017

8
9 WHEREAS, the stipulated enlargements are sought in advance of the expiration of any
10 related disclosure deadline;

11 WHEREAS, the stipulated disclosure enlargements do not affect any other existing dates
12 set forth in the Scheduling Order;

13 WHEREAS, none of the extensions sought by the Stipulated Request prejudice the parties
14 or the Court;


15 Good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

16 1. The date for the Parties to disclose Experts is extended from January 9, 2017 through
17 and including April 10, 2017;

18 2. The date for the Parties to disclose Rebuttal Experts is extended from April 18, 2017
19 through and including June 19, 2017.

20
21 SO ORDERED this 21st day of December, 2016.

22 By: _____


The Honorable Richard G. Seborg
United States District Court Judge